



ELECTION COMMISSION MEETING AGENDA

1. Call to Order: 5:30 PM
2. Roll Call & Verification of Quorum: 12/12

Name	Email	Initial	Final
Supervisor of Elections Amarah Presley	sga_ec@ucf.edu	P	P
Assistant Supervisor of Elections Andrew Collazo	sga_acc@ucf.edu	P	P
Commissioner VACANT	sgec1@ucf.edu	-	-
Commissioner Bri Phillips	sgec2@ucf.edu	P	P
Commissioner Alyssia Wright	sgec3@ucf.edu	P	P
Commissioner Charish Hall	sgec6@ucf.edu	P	P
Commissioner Morgan McCarthy	sgec7@ucf.edu	P	P
Commissioner Mar Orellana	sgec8@ucf.edu	P	P
Commissioner Sarah Aguiar	sgec9@ucf.edu	P	P
Commissioner Tori Calloway	sgec10@ucf.edu	P	P
Commissioner VACANT	sgec11@ucf.edu	-	-
Commissioner Jada Wesley	sgec12@ucf.edu	P	P
Commissioner	sgec13@ucf.edu	P	P

This meeting is held in compliance with the Government in the Sunshine Act. Funded by the Activity and Service Fee through the UCF Student Government.



**ELECTION
COMMISSION**

UCF Student Government
Election Commission (EC) Meeting
Charge on Chamber
October 6th, 2025
5:30 PM

Bryan Gonzalez			
Commissioner Emily Melo	sgecl4@ucf.edu	P	P

- a. Approval of the Minutes: [09.29.25](#) **Approved by GC**
- b. Approval of the Agenda: **Approved by GC**
- c. Open Forum
 - a. None
- d. Announcements from the Supervisor
 - a. Happy Monday. I hope everyone had a good weekend.
 - b. Orange County Supervisor of Elections Tour
 - i. Tomorrow! Meet in the Student Government office no later than 1:00 PM to check in with me. We will be leaving at the latest 1:15 PM.
 - ii. Dress Code = Business Professional with Name Tags. Jeans and polos are not permitted.
 1. EX: Suits, Pantsuits, Dress Shirts, Ties, Blouses, Knee-Length Dresses, Dress Shoes
 - iii. [Carpool List](#)
 - c. Reminder of GBM Expectations
 - i. As mentioned in the previous meeting, we are beginning violation hearings. This information is **INCREDIBLY IMPORTANT**. Having a solid understanding of these hearings and how they work is imperative and will remain one of the commission's top priorities until the end of the semester. We must get through ALL Title VI lesson plans and learning checks before the meeting's end time of 7:00 PM. Furthermore, we begin mock hearings in two weeks, which attendance of the commission throughout the duration of the hearing is strongly recommended.
 1. Please note that if you must leave early for any reason, the Supervisor of Elections must be alerted via email or text. Too many people left early last week without letting me know first.
 - ii. These meetings will follow Robert's Rules of Order to effectively get through business. Remember the following:
 1. Do not speak unless called upon by the chair.
 2. When an individual is speaking, refrain from having side conversations out of respect for them.
 3. All questions asked by committee members must pertain to the presented materials/announcements.

This meeting is held in compliance with the Government in the Sunshine Act. Funded by the Activity and Service Fee through the UCF Student Government.



4. If wishing to vote upon business in the GBM, the Supervisor and Assistant Supervisor must be alerted PRIOR to the beginning of the GBM.
- iii. Committee will now report to advisors regarding their work. The chairs have been alerted of this information already.
 1. MAO & CA – Supervisor Presley
 2. LPR & CAE – Assistant Supervisor Collazo
- e. Announcements from the Assistant Supervisor
 - a. Robert's Rule of Order
 - i. [Three \(3\) new guides have been created. I expect you to review them.](#)
 - ii. ERP
 1. To propose an amendment (to add or remove) go into reviewing mode and add or remove. Motion is as follows: "I move to see my amendment."
Someone will have to second your motion. Once the motion is adopted, we follow the same procedures as Speech, Questioning, and Debate.
 - b. Nametags and Polos:
 - i. While you are in the office, attending SG meetings, or participating in SG events, you must wear your nametag. This is so you are easily identifiable to SGLCers.
 - ii. Since we have name tags, you are not required to wear your polo shirt every time we specify business casual, unless otherwise stated. If you do not have a name tag, you misplaced it, or do not have it on you, then wearing your SG Polo is a must.
 - iii. In the cabinet, there is a magnet board where you can leave your name tag.
 - c. Committee Agendas
 - i. Submit prior to 5:00 p.m. on Fridays.
- f. Committee Reports
 - a. Announcements from the Candidate Affairs Chair
 - i. Meeting
 1. Tomorrow's meeting is canceled; we will regroup next week.
 - ii. Q&A RSVP
 1. Create the RSVP Form on Knight Connect for the Q&A.
 2. Supervisor Presley will be assisting me.
 3. Complete by October 13th.
 - iii. Finalize all Election Merchandise
 1. The deadline given to Coordinator Collison was this Friday October 10th, then I will present her design the following Monday to you all October 13th
 - iv. Finalize Election Logo
 1. Week of the 13th we will talk about any revisions (I believe it's best to have a logo done before October 20th)

This meeting is held in compliance with the Government in the Sunshine Act. Funded by the Activity and Service Fee through the UCF Student Government.



2. Finalize exploring merchandise options for the election period, including quantities and pricing.
- v. Catering for Events
 1. Finalize Catering for Presidential Debate & Candidate Expo by October 20th.
- b. Announcements from the Culture and Experience Chair
 - i. Hello everyone, hope you had an amazing weekend! Here are my updates for the week.
 - ii. Our first bonding event of the semester will be at boardwalk bowling tomorrow, it will be right after our headshots depending on how long that will take, it will be around 7 p.m. or 7:30 p.m. I will not be attending since I have a midterm on Wednesday that I must study for, but I will be sending out address information in chat.
 - iii. For our semester bonding activity, we were thinking of doing the [RWC Challenge Course](#) in November given the time and logistics that must be worked out. reservations must be made 21 business days in advance, so please fill out the when2meet by the end of the day. Things to note for this course
 1. Minimum amount of people for a group reservation is 8
 2. Failure for group members to arrive will result in \$100 penalty fee to organizer
 3. As the organizer I will be required to meet with RWC staff to organize this event
 - a. Because of all these requirements I will send out a date ASAP before making the reservation, please make sure you can attend because I will ultimately be charged any fees for no-shows. Also, the programs range from 3-5 hours so please plan accordingly.
 - i. [lettucemeet](#) for RWC Challenge Course
 - iv. On October 20, we will be having our Halloween themed GBM. We will be doing a costume contest, and the winner will get a special prize. We would also like to do a potluck so you can bring your fav treats
 1. [Potluck/treat signups](#)
- c. Announcements from the Legislative, Precedent, & Rules Chair
 - i. Hi everyone! Short announcements today
 1. We saw a senate confirmation in E&A last week and we looked over title VI revisions which will continue into next week.
 2. The ERP is ready for approval, and we will be going over it today.

This meeting is held in compliance with the Government in the Sunshine Act. Funded by the Activity and Service Fee through the UCF Student Government.



- a. For my committee chairs!!! When going over the committee's section of the ERP and you would like to add anything extra to your committees' duties and responsibilities, please do mention it.
- d. Announcements from the Marketing and Outreach Chair
 - i. Hi Everyone! Announcements from me are short today
 - 1. Headshots are tomorrow at 6 PM! Please be on time, as we will be taking group shots first. The attire is polos, business slacks, and dress shoes.
 - 2. I am going to be meeting with Gaby on Thursday to pick out the clips so that she can start editing the FAQ Reel as the media request will be submitted by the end of this meeting
 - 3. We have another tabling event this week, which is going to be this Wednesday at Rosen. Here is the [Sign-Up Sheet](#). We need a minimum of 4 commissioners to be present+++
 - 4. Lastly, we are in the process of finalizing our email blasts to send out to RSOs and Colleges before the Q&A Event as well!
- g. Announcements from Commission Members
 - a. None.
- h. New Business
 - a. **Reading Time (5 Minutes)**
 - i. [The Election Rules of Procedures Document](#)
 - b. Approving the ERP – Commissioner Gonzalez: **MSQD, 5-5-5, Seconded by Commissioner Melo**
 - i. Speech
 - 1. Commissioner McCarthy: Good evening, guys. So ERP touches just general provisions, the scopes and authority of the Election Commission, how to amend the ERP attendance policy, the Election Commission committees. Contest and violation hearing oaths. Yeah, this is what we have come up with. This is what LPR has come up with so far. We welcome any additions or any input everybody has to make this sure this document is as efficient as needed to establish the rules and procedures within this branch. And I yield the rest of my time.
 - ii. Questioning
 - 1. Commissioner Gonzalez: So I noticed in the ERP there has some sanctions that the supervisor of elections can have with attendance. Are there any other parts of the ERP that have any sort of like enforcement, like if the committee isn't complying with their like duties or anything like that?
 - a. No, it does not. With the with the sanctions and everything for the attendance, we followed what Title 6 originally did say. We do not

This meeting is held in compliance with the Government in the Sunshine Act. Funded by the Activity and Service Fee through the UCF Student Government.



- have any sanctions for committees if they're not following or any of that.
2. Commissioner Welsey: So just to clarify, if there isn't like a formal sanction cause I on the general policies it says in potential non-compliance like because it says potential where is like is it just on how the supervisor feels or? Cause it says there's a formal written warning and potential non-compliance.
 - a. We put the potential non-compliance in there because that's what was in Title 6. We decided to add the addition of the formal written warning with the potential non-compliance. So it is essentially up to the supervisor of elections.
 3. Commissioner Phillips: Just in section 304 B, it's talking about how we can add committees. Can we take committees away too through a vote?
 - a. McCarthy: I believe with removing ad hoc committees, yes, but since we have the four committees set up in statutes, oh, not in statutes, but in the ERPI do not believe so. However, I'm open to hear whatever you guys have to say about that.
 - b. Commissioner Calloway: I think it was like that just in hopes that the four committees that we created this year are in standing for the following years because the Election Commission is trying to have a full election Commission every single year. So I think 4 committees is something that we're trying to represent in the ERP so that it continues. To follow up after and like Commissioner McCarthy was stating in LPR, we do have what's it called? There is a process to take away ad hoc committees because they're only there for an extended period of time while these committees are long standing for the same reasons why they're long standing in our Commission.
 4. Commissioner Phillips: So like for the four committees that are like set in stone, is there a way to be like? If there's not enough commissioners to fulfill certain, like said committees, we can dial back. Do you get what I'm saying? Just cause I don't want like, yeah, that was just a lot for us when there was five of us and it was like all over the place. And so like, I can't even picture five people having to be on 4 committees, you know, like.
 5. Commissioner Melo: I'd like to ask about a similar thing in 3.01. I don't know how to say it correctly, 3.01 I would say. It says that each committee shall have at least three commissioners

This meeting is held in compliance with the Government in the Sunshine Act. Funded by the Activity and Service Fee through the UCF Student Government.



- iii. **Commissioner Melo:** Motion to extend by 2 minutes, **Seconded by** Commissioner Calloway
- iv. Asst. Supervisor Collazo: **Point of Order:** This is questioning not debate.
- v. Commissioner Wright: Are future like supervisors able to change the name or are these like kind of set in stone?
 - 1. Commissioner McCarthy: I believe we're just going to set them in stone to have them because they all at the end of the day, they all have the same goals and I I believe the committees are similar to the ones you had last year
- vi. Debate
 - 1. Commissioner Calloway: Just going back to the previous questions, I think that the ERP, the revisions that we're making are based off of this upcoming year. I think if we're thinking about the future, then the revisions that we're making for this year are never really going to make. Too much sense. So me and Chair or Commissioner McCarthy, like thinking about the revisions, we were thinking about going into this year and continuing next year with that positive mindset of having a full Commission, having a full Commission, having 4 committees that Commissioner McCarthy stated that all have a. Have a common goal, especially if the committees from last year are not directly tied into committees that we have this year, but if they're if the Commission is going to kind of replicate itself and not like replicate like like face value replicate, but like in the same way of like what the goals are, I think that. I think that the what we had set in stone was a good foundation. Not that it can't ever be changed, not that it can't ever be like rewritten. But for right now this is kind of what we thought would be best to like work with.
 - 2. Commissioner Melo: I want to agree with Commissioner Calloway that I think although we brought up a lot of question, a couple questions and concerns, I think that still it is a good basis to to set forth a hopeful future for full election Commission to make sure everything is running smoothly again with those four committees at least. Commissioners in each. So I think that even if we're not creating any changes, I think it is a great basis and as long as it can be amended if needed in the future, I don't see any issues with it.
 - 3. Commissioner Phillips: I don't know if my question was understood because I guess it was seen as a statement, but I legitimately was just asking like, again, I'm not trying to be pessimistic. I'm just saying like from literal experience and like I know Commissioner Wright can attest to it like there were times. When there weren't a lot of us, and I know we're saying we can

This meeting is held in compliance with the Government in the Sunshine Act. Funded by the Activity and Service Fee through the UCF Student Government.



amend it, but could we just put, I mean, since we're already writing a whole document, just put somewhere in here that it's like if there aren't enough commissioners to fill said seats, like what are we going to like? I'm literally just asking like, what are they going to do?

4. If there aren't enough seats, cause being hope, I'm not saying be like, oh man, we're not gonna have anyone. But like, I mean we could be hopeful and then have three people next year. I'm not saying I want that to happen. I'm just saying that literally has happened. So I'm I'm not trying to make a statement. I'm legitimately asking like what are they gonna do if they don't have? Three people in each committee, cause that's happened multiple times.
5. Asst. Supervisor Collazo: think in regards to that, it would be more so playing it by ear and I do think that that is a good point to bring up how however I don't necessarily think it's exactly a negative. I know it's a lot of work, but it's the work that needs to happen. However, if the Commissioner does wish to change it, then by all means we can review that amendment once introduced.
6. Commissioner Wesley: Just wanted to say that I second Commissioner Phillips says concern. I think just having that in there as an option of what to fall back on if there are less commissioners than anticipated, whether that's like making it be a number, like just going through and saying hey, there's three people per committee, so if there's this many people total then. And what are the procedures to follow after that? So yeah.
7. Supervisor Presley: I want you guys to know that this document isn't like it can be amended. So with this information, with these concerns, definitely bring them to LPR and create a plan for if there aren't enough commissioners, what do we do? What is the removal of committees? What does that process look like?

vii. Commissioner Melo: **Motion to Back to Debate from Voting, Seconded by Commissioner Gonzalez**

1. Commissioner Welsey: I will not be voting in favor of approving the ERP only because I think that we already have a concern. It's an easy fix and then my like next meeting just come back with that just because it's already being said now if it was like. Afterwards this came up, then approve it and then amendment through LPR. But just because there's already concern upon it first being introduced, why not just fix that and then come back and we approve it?

This meeting is held in compliance with the Government in the Sunshine Act. Funded by the Activity and Service Fee through the UCF Student Government.



2. Commissioner Wright: I also agree with Commissioner Wesley. I think there so he will have some amendments that maybe they want to add. So maybe taking out the that to ERP1st and then bringing it back to the floor would be a good idea. So I'll be the voting in negation.
3. Asst. Supervisor Collazo : I don't think necessarily we should not approve this ERP just because of one problem or one issue like I said it at the initial part of the. Meeting and like I stated prior to the start of this meeting and like I stated during debate, amendments can be introduced by any member of this Commission and be introduced during debating team period. I do not think it is a valid argument to. Say that that the document should be sent back to LPR and then come back to the full entire Commission. It is a working document. It will constantly always have to go through changes. But as of right now, I think what is on there is suffice. They have many more projects to add into the ERP. However, I highly encourage any member that does not see fit that this should be passed based on one error to introduce an amendment so we can just get discuss it right now since we have the time.
4. Commissioner Wesley: I want to note that I feel like there may like I didn't get to finish reading it and I also think that knowing that we already have some concerns, I still think it would be a good even though it's a work a working document. That way we can take it day by day each time that there is a change and not have to be like moving backwards. So if we sent it back and came and started with that first issue or anyone's general concerns from what they saw in this meeting since it's our first time looking over it. That's also like, I still think it's not necessarily like 1 issue. So if there's anything anyone already saw, then that way when we come back next meeting, what's everyone's things have already been addressed and we're starting on a fresh slate with the document and that way as changes are introduced, we're. Moving on a forward momentum and not kind of like bouncing around with, oh, I had this concern about this two weeks ago.
5. Commissioner Calloway: We are on a timeline. I think that is something that needs to be addressed as well. LPR was given a timeline. If you haven't read the entirety of the document, I highly recommend that you do as there are a lot of foundational things in the document that should be. Approved and that I feel like are more important than the concerns that have been brought up in this meeting right now,
6. Commissioner McCarthy: I would also like to know going along, going along with what Assistant Supervisor Collazo said, this is a working

This meeting is held in compliance with the Government in the Sunshine Act. Funded by the Activity and Service Fee through the UCF Student Government.



document. If you see a concern today and we can go back and amendment amend it. And even if you don't see a concern today and if we go back, let's say we look at back at it in about. Next semester and we see something that obviously like, let's just say it doesn't apply anymore. Then we can go back through and go through the amendment process through LPR. This document isn't meant to, you know, stay in stone like this isn't just concrete. We can go through and edit, remove things, add things and all that. So yet again, like I said, you may not see like the yes, there are concerns, but there's it's fixable. And also your might be a concern that you may not see today but could see later on and we can fix.

viii. Commissioner Calloway: Motion to extend debate time by 5 minutes, Seconded by Commissioner Melo

1. Commissioner Melo: OK, I was going to agree with assistant supervisor collazo that again, I think that this is a great basis that we can have and if we can implement it, we now have something to work off of And then make adjustments. I think again, if we end up tabling this or negating it. I don't think it's necessary, again, just for a couple tweaks that we have. So as of right now, I'm going to be voting in favor;
2. Commissioner Wesley: if we're gonna be like editing it continuously anyways, I guess this is like literally the same thing as like being like these are edits we have, but um. I wanted to say if, well, actually, I'll just leave it there. That's really it. I mean, like I said, I feel like it's the same thing as like what we're saying. Like, OK, well, it's gonna be edited anyways, so we're still gonna change it. So it's like, well, we already know for sure that these are changes, so why not? And Isn't there already stuff that we go off of anyways that establishes like the tweaks or like the two days attendance, but it's one day now. So it's like we still already have a president that we're following. So knowing that there's already edits, as you guys are saying, well, we're going to edit it anyways. We're saying now, well, here's an initial edit. So, like, is that not literally the same thing?
3. Commissioner Calloway: So the document you're talking about is Title 6, which essentially goes over the election statutes. The ERP is for everything internal in the Election Commission, so although we do have Title 6 to go off of, it's not necessarily curated to the Election Commission as the ERP is. So as you can see, there are different things in the ERP that Title 6 does not necessarily go into depth about like the committee. What the committees do, what the committees are entitled to do, the some member what's it called? Like just like things that members are required to do in order to be a part of

This meeting is held in compliance with the Government in the Sunshine Act. Funded by the Activity and Service Fee through the UCF Student Government.



the committee. So I think like Chair McCarthy and Assistant Supervisor Collazo said, this document is a good foundation. Because right now we do not have a document that had that gives us a backbone other than Title 6, which doesn't necessarily cover all of the internal proceedings that actually take place in the Election Commission that we are curating and moving forward as well.

4. Commissioner Gonzalez: So I feel like with this ERP I will be voting to support it just because I think it is a good basis and you know, with no real like enforcing mechanism for some of these things, I don't really see some of the issues with like committees in that being. Kind of any sort of issue because there is really no enforcement for not like complying with that except for attendance. So I think we should adopt that and we can amend it and come back with some sort of. Amendments or something. But yeah, I mean, if there's no mechanism to enforce it, then I don't really think we need to worry about anything because essentially it will just be acting as a guide, more or less.
5. Commissioner Wesley: I think at this point we're literally like we're basically arguing the same thing because my point is that there's not really anything enforceable like that's new besides like I guess the attendance, which is not the point is is like there's a change in the committee thing. That's what's new. I'm sorry, I worded that wrong. What's new is the. Committee stuff, and that's what needs the edit. That was the point I was making. But either way, like you just said, like what's enforceable is like, like there's nothing going on that's going to have to be enforced, I guess. So I think at this point we're kind of just making the same point.

ix. Vote

1. 12-0-0, **Approved.**
- i. Miscellaneous Business – **MTIF, Seconded by Gonzalez**
 - a. Title VI: Chapter 606 Pt 1 Learning Check: Jeopardy
 - b. [Title VI: Chapter 606 Pt 2](#)
 - i. Learning Check: Kahoot
- j. Member Discussion Commissioner Wright: **MTF, Seconded by Melo**
 - a. ERP
- k. Final Roll Call: 12/12; Same as Initial
- l. Adjournment: 6:55 PM

Key:

P - Present

This meeting is held in compliance with the Government in the Sunshine Act. Funded by the Activity and Service Fee through the UCF Student Government.



**ELECTION
COMMISSION**

UCF Student Government
Election Commission (EC) Meeting
Charge on Chamber
October 6th, 2025
5:30 PM

A - Absent
E - Excused
MTT - Move to Table
MTD - Move to Debate
MTV - Move to Vote
MTA - Move to Amend
MSQD - Motion for Speech, Questioning, & Debate Time
MTF - Move to Formal
MTIF - Move to Informal
PP - Postpone
PPI - Postpone Indefinitely
GC - General Consent

This meeting is held in compliance with the Government in the Sunshine Act. Funded by the Activity and Service Fee through the UCF Student Government.

Supervisor of Elections Presley: sga_ec@ucf.edu