## **MEETING AGENDA**

1. Call to Order: 2:33pm

2. Roll Call & Verification of Quorum: 4/5

Name	Email	Initial	Final
Lisyibet Silva	sga_cjus@ucf.edu	E	E
Andrew Collazo	sga_jc7@ucf.edu	Р	Р
Ayaka Kimura	sga_jc8@ucf.edu	Р	Р
Yuka Kimura	sga_jc10@ucf.edu	Р	Р
Kennedi Ray	sga_jc12@ucf.edu	Р	Р

- 1. Approval of the Minutes: Justice Collazo
- 2. Approval of the Agenda: Justice Kimura
- 3. Announcements from the Chair- Hi all, as anounced during GBM there will be no meeting next week. Instead I will ask that you all do a little thinking during that week based on our discussion today and we will meet again on Oct. 18<sup>th</sup> but this meeting during member discussion we will determine either a different time or have a shorter meeting to accomdate attending candy with the council so everyone here can attend for some time.
- 4. Announcements from the Vice Chiar-
- 5. Old Business
  - a. Moore Discussion currently on hold
  - b. Mandatory Reporting still being handled, updates will be given at the next meeting
  - c. What kind of trackers might we want to see for various appeals?
    - i. Spreadsheets
    - ii. Transparency and Privacy
      - 1. Still waiting to meet with Jake
    - iii. Internal and External Report Differences
  - d. JRP Updates

## JUDICIAL JUCE S BRANCH

Judicial Council Meeting

[Zoom]

[dd/mm/yyyy]

- i. Want to start compiling Title IX resources for the JRP and see what the process looks like during the process
- ii. Aim for completion by the start of spring semester
- iii. Should include a Title IX campaign every year to raise awareness and a campaign for impartial advising for SCAI --> possibly collaboration with JOC, may not necessarily be a requirement
- iv. Make it clear in the JRP that not all Justices have to do Title IX Training, as well as a post meeting to see if Justices are okay
- v. In the JRP, we currently do not have an impartial advising category
  - 1. Add what training looks like and what meetings look like for the section
  - Add what Justices have to do and what they don't have to do for every meeting, and we might have different sections for each type of case
  - 3. Emphasize that Title IX training is not a requirement --> possibly adding that we can do a survey to see which Justices are interested, and make sure there isn't any peer pressure to join.
  - 4. See if Justices have any preferences and are uncomfortable working with victims or perpetrators, etc.
  - 5. Making sure we don't compromise confidentiality and privacy as Justices seek mental health support resources, etc.

## 6. New Business-

- a. The meeting with Jake did not happen but I will have updates in our next meeting
- b. Start of with where we will be placing JRP Updates
  - i. We always start in suggestion mode so let's pull up the JRP
  - ii. Editing is only accepted with majority vote by the council
  - iii. Focus on what advising updates would look like and potentially placing each type into seperate sections as needed
    - 1. Potentially creating a new section just for impartial advising
    - 2. Possibly removing "3 Associate Justices" in Rule 4.06, or leave it to the discretion of the Chief Justices
    - 3. Look at keeping the Judicial Experience Committee to establish it as an official committee and putting it in the JRP

## UCF Student Government Judicial Council Meeting [Zoom] [dd/mm/yyyy] [6pm]



- 4. Also add about what impartial advising looks like
  - a. We currently have shadowing only, so we can look at making more concrete training
  - b. We have implied power for impartial advising, but it's not included in Title V
    - i. Will not be a requirement for Justices, because it is difficult in terms of scheduling, especially Title IX
    - ii. May need to look at making it concrete and possibly look at tracking the cases after talking to Jake
    - iii. Looking at the distribution with the cases, and relationship with the Attorney General to ensure that the next Judicial Council will have something to work off of
    - iv. We don't have a procedure for the cases, so we should try establishing a protocol.
- c. Updates for the Impartial Advising Form
  - i. Updated the names of Chief Justice and Attorney General (edited 10/04)
  - ii. Potentially look at changing questions to gather as much information and details of the case
    - 1. Ex. Have you talked to anyone, etc.
    - 2. Personalizing the form for different cases
  - iii. Fix the discrepancies in the form for the times that the students are available
  - iv. Look at having a separate form for Title IX form, with different warnings on the form for privacy concerns and mandatory reporting
  - v. Change the email address from Knightsemail to current ucf emails
  - vi. Making the choices for the first question to be more specific:
    - Change the wording from "Organizational Conduct Process" to "RSO Conduct Process"
    - 2. Change "Internal SGA Process"
    - 3. Look into writing more descriptions for each process.
  - vii. We only have procedures for parking appeals
    - 1. Potentially distribute the tasks of writing up a rough draft for each process and looking it over in committee.
    - 2. No procedures for Graduate Program Appeals, Grade Appeals, SCAI Procedures

Judicial Council Meeting

[Zoom]

[dd/mm/yyyy]

[6pm]

- 3. Make sure who serves as an officer on SCAI, and who serves as an impartial advising and coordinating everything and so Justices know what to do.
- viii. Need to look into marketing advising for appealing votes of no-confidence
- ix. As the Judicial Council, we should make the rest of the student government aware of this option. Possibly reach out to the Sg agent that received a vot of no-confidence.
- x. Ensuring that Executive and Legislative leadership make it aware to Sg agents that they have the option to go through advising for appealing noconfidence votes.
- xi. Highest priority is Title IX Training Section for JRP
- d. Any suggestions to improve current efforts to be impartial advisors?
  - i. What kind of information might be more pertinent for us to know from individuals?
  - ii. What kind of information should justices know that is not currently immediately avaiable?
  - iii. Do we see room for improvement amongst our refrences pages?
    - 1. Currently has some information for the Title IX and SCAI only
    - 2. Where could we see further improvement so justices are getting as much information as possible.
- e. Concerns about the Sunshine Act(brought up by Justice Collazo)
  - i. Minutes are not public record now that we switched to teams.
  - ii. Branch heads talked to Brodie, but the small sentence in Title XII says that everything should be on the website.
  - iii. There aren't any exceptions to the rule, but the question is are we transitioning to make everything accessible on the website?
  - iv. Attorney General Moore posted her opinions almost imemdiately, there should be no excuse why Senate or Executive are not updating
  - v. Look into making parking appeals more open and accessible, except for deliberation.
  - vi. Potentially add to the JRP to publish everything that needs to be published
  - vii. AS&F budget hasn't been updated since 2019
  - viii. Look into collaborating with the Attorney General to make everything public record and uploading minutes
  - ix. Senate uploads minutes, but Executive and Judicial need to work on this. Judicial is small, so IRC can help with this.

UCF Student Government
Judicial Council Meeting
[Zoom]
[dd/mm/yyyy]
[6pm]

- 1. Brodie: Yes, we can see both sides with whether Judicial technically needs to put their minutes there.
  - a. We can collect all of the Committee Minutes, GBM minutes, and our documents in one folder to figure out together to put in weekly emails.
  - b. Senate puts their minutes weekly for the student assistant to upload
  - c. Executive Branch does not have voting and is more hierarchical when it comes to decision-making, so historically, they have not uploaded their minutes. They have agendas, but they do not have minutes per say because they share personal notes.
  - d. Student government has been determined by University council that we aren't "government" and we are more of an "advisory board" so we are not fully liable for public records. We call ourselves "government", and we are choosing to hold ourselves accountable for it.
  - e. We are currently looking into changing things to holding things accountable to us, not the actual law.
  - f. We want to have the same transparency, but we don't want to hold ourselves accountable to other Florida Laws that we don't know much about
- 7. Member Discussion
  - a. Oct. 18th Candy with the counil: Opinions and Suggestions for handling IRC
  - b. Anything you would like to do in the meantime as we handle the JRP? We don't have to let this consume entire meetings and will likely be handling this a section at a time as needed
- 8. Miscellaneous Business
  - a. **Buds:** What are you looking forward to right now?
  - b. Question of the Day: What's a quote you live by or really love?
- 9. Final Roll Call: 4/5
- 10. Adjournment: 3:32pm

Key:

P - Present



UCF Student Government
Judicial Council Meeting
[Zoom]
[dd/mm/yyyy]
[6pm]

A - Absent

MTD - Move to Debate

MTV - Move to Vote

MTA- Move to Amend

PP - Postpone

PPI - Postpone Indefinitely

GC - General Consent