LJR Committee Meeting Charge on Chamber 09/14 10:30 AM

# **MEETING AGENDA**

1. Call to Order: 10:41 AM

2. Roll Call & Verification of Quorum (3): 5/5

Name	Email	Initial	Final
Chair Urea	sga_ljr@ucf.edu	Р	Р
Vice-Chair Hurrell	sgacreol1@ucf.edu	Р	Р
Senator Yalamanchili	sgachps4@ucf.edu	Р	E
Senator Tache	sgsci13@ucf.edu	Р	Р
Senator Parmar	sga_ecs2@ucf.edu	E	E
Pro-Tempore Representative	sga_dleg@ucf.edu	Р	Р

- 3. Approval of the Minutes: Approved by GC
- 4. Approval of the Agenda: Approved by GC
- 5. Announcements from the Chair
  - a. Hey guys, hope you all had a wonderful week. We have no absence requests for this meeting! Today we have a resolution and bill to go over and we are going to work on the statues crash course.
- 6. Announcements from the Vice Chair
  - a. Hey y'all not much from me just wanted to say make sure you're taking care of yourself!
- 7. Announcements from Committee Members
  - a. E&A Representative
    - i. None
  - b. GAP Representative Tache
    - i. Worked on voting guide
  - c. SBA Representative-

LEGISLATIVE BRANCH

LJR Committee Meeting Charge on Chamber 09/14 10:30 AM

- i. None.
- d. Pro-Temps Office
  - i. We have a lot to see this week, so let's get to it!
- 8. Announcements from Non-Committee Members
  - a. None
- 9. Old Business
  - a. Bills
    - i. None.
  - b. Resolutions
    - i. E Resolution 54-03 Adding Land Acknowledgements
      - 1. Speech: This bill would add a land acknowledgment to the agenda. The land that senate takes place used to belong to Native Americans and we should acknowledge what the land has gone through.
      - 2. Questioning:
        - a. McClellan: Does this bill dictate who has to read it and how.
          - Mole: It does not just like the national anthem it doesn't say that it has to be said. Just like we have skipped the national anthem in the past this is a similar case
        - b. Chair: Can you explain what you would say in the land acknowledgement?
          - Mole:I don't have a specific land acknowledge in front of me, however just stating "This land belonged to (and state to whom it belonged to)" Just a one sentence acknowledgement.
        - c. McClellan: Do we have to have the "stolen land" within the whereas clause?
          - i. Mole: Just like anything you can make an amendment
        - d. Chair: Would you be okay with removing "stolen land"?
          - i. Mole: I would not be.
      - 3. Debate
        - a. McClellan: I will be speaking against this bill just on merit, it could have already been implemented, we don't need to see it on the floor. I also don't like the "stolen" land part



LJR Committee Meeting Charge on Chamber 09/14 10:30 AM

- b. Yala: I will be speaking in favor, it is important to acknowledge where the land came from just like we do the national anthem and the pledge of allegiance. If we can do things like scarlets snapshots and tribbles tasty treats we can take a small portion to acknowledge where our land came from.
- c. Tache: If we are concerned about time constraints I would be happy to help someone write an internal bill to remove the pledge and anthem. Just because those came first we should not give them precedent.
- d. Hurrell: I will be speaking in favor, I do think this is important to include. Just as important as the anthem and pledge of allegiance. I will say I don't necessarily agree that the land acknowledgements are more important than the pledge or anthem. But just as equal as the two.
- e. Chair: I think the bill is formatted correctly, I don't know why we wouldn't vote in favor of it. Personally I grew up on stolen lands from native tribes and I had no idea, I was never taught. I think it will clarify history a bit. I think everything is fine and it is important to include. But I encourage everyone to vote how they want.
- f. Yalamanchili: It is generally my principle that it is written correctly and morally okay. I will vote favorably. If it is voted favorably in committee generally it will pass if it is morally right and I don't want to bring it to the senate floor with the preconceived idea that this bill isn't written correctly if we don't pass it favorably.
- 4. Vote 4-0-1
- c. Absences
  - i. None.
- d. Blanket Excuses
  - i. None.
- 10. New Business
  - a. Bills
    - i. Internal Bill 54-XX [Updates to Title V]



LJR Committee Meeting Charge on Chamber 09/14 10:30 AM

- 1. Speech
  - a. Writing by the judicial branch and asked foster to introduce it. Deals with the ORS fiscal appeals. If an RSO feels that their sanction is unjust they can appeal it to the judicial. Right now it has a back and forth process and this bill will stop it at judicial and not have it go back to ORS.
- 2. Question:
  - a. McClellan- Asks for clarification
    - i. Foster: gives clarification
  - b. Chair: It stops the back and forth?
    - i. Foster: yes
- Debate
  - a. McClellan: I am in favor of this, it saves from the back and forth. There has to be an end and a final say and this will ensure that.
- 4. Vote: 5-0-0
- a. Resolutions
  - i. None.
- b. Absences
  - i. None.
- c. Blanket excuses
  - i. None.
- 11. Member Discussion
  - a. None
- 12. Miscellaneous Business
  - a. Titles Crash Course

i. Informal: 11:08AMii. Formal: 11:32AM

- 13. Final Roll Call: 4/5
- 14. Adjournment: 11:33 AM

# Key:

- P Present
- A Absent



LJR Committee Meeting Charge on Chamber 09/14 10:30 AM

MTD - Move to Debate

MTV - Move to Vote

MTA- Move to Amend

PP - Postpone

PPI - Postpone Indefinitely

GC - General Consent