

## **MEETING AGENDA**

- 1. Call to Order: 3:33 PM
- 2. Roll Call & Verification of Quorum(5): 9/8

Name	Email	Initial	Final
Chair Wangen	sgaors@ucf.edu	р	р
Vice Chair Robbins	sgacie3@ucf.edu	р	р
Senator Barekman	sga_hm2@ucf.edu	р	р
Senator Borges (FAO rep.)	sga_hm1@ucf.edu	р	р
Senator Castillo (CRT rep.)	sga_ecs1@ucf.edu	р	р
Senator Potier	sga_cah4@ucf.edu	р	р
Senator Temple	sga_ba8@ucf.edu	р	р
Senator Uchoa	sgamed3@ucf.edu	р	р
Pro-Tempore Representative	sga_pro@ucf.edu	р	р

- 3. Approval of the Minutes: 10/04/2022 ORS Meeting ; approved by gc
- 4. Approval of the Agenda: 10/11/2022; approved by gc
- 5. Announcements from the Chair:
  - a. Hi guys!
- 6. Announcements from the Vice Chair:
  - a. My Mentee Taylor is here !
- 7. Announcements from Committee Members:
  - a. CRT Representative 31 allocations approved, 1 denied, 9 postponed, and 0 PPI'd.
    3 Fiscal Bills approved.
  - b. FAO Representative 3 allocations approved, 0 denied, 1 postponed, and 0 PPI'd.
    1 Fiscal Bills postponed due to many issues. The one postponed is Hillel, they are sanctioned and i'll make sure to let FAO know next week.
  - c. Senator Temple
    - i. Last day to register to vote

*This meeting is held in compliance with the Government in the Sunshine Act. Funded by the Activity and Service Fee through UCF Student Government.* 

Chair Wangen: sgaors@ucf.edu



- ii. There are 7,641 islands in the Philippines (it's Filipino Heritage Month!!!)
- iii. Borges: Can we have temple resign instead of Robbins?
  - 1. Laughter and agreeance
- 8. Announcements from Non-Committee Members:
  - a. McClellan: I am waiting for the end, we want to make a statutes crash course.
- 9. Old Business:
  - a. Post-Funding Reviews:
    - i. CRT 53-022 (Kasey Allen) (Week 3)
      - 1. General Information
        - a. Fiscal Probation: Reversion  $\ge 20\%$
        - b. Reverted \$79.00 of \$79.00; 100%
      - 2. Speech:
        - а.
      - 3. Questions:
        - а.
      - 4. Debate:
        - a.
      - 5. Vote to (not) Sanction (at %)
        - a. postponed
    - ii. CRT 53-046 (Rene Estrada) (Week 3)
      - 1. General Information
        - a. Fiscal Probation: Reversion  $\ge 20\%$
        - b. Reverted \$200.00 of \$200.00; 1000%
      - 2. Speech:
        - a. Had to help out boss, prepare for exams, register and was busy at the time. There was no form in the initial email and was out of the loop.
        - b. Latchmin "I have not heard anything from the Student who received 53-046."
        - c. CRT53-046.pdf
      - 3. Questions:
        - a. Borges: Were you ever emailed by an accountant?
          - i. Yes, but Did not know what forms to submit
          - ii. Do you have that email?
          - iii. Let me check, Jerry?
            - 1. Lauren Beaty



- iv. Borges: they should have mentioned your number in the email? There were other members who requested for the same form, did they get it as well?
- v. They got it but I was busy and late to submit things
- b. Temple: did you expect these circumstances?
  - i. Yes, but with my work they give me a bi-weekly schedule and I was put on the schedule alot
- c. Barekman: did you make an effort to request time off for this event?
  - i. I was waiting on another email
- 4. Debate:
  - Borges: Its the same email as always, this is the same email that all students receive, I appreciate the individual being here so 5% less
  - b. Uchoa: Once you request for funding you should plan ahead, i agree with 25%
  - c. Barekman: I think there was plenty of time in advance to make arrangements.
  - d. Wangen: Please be attentive and not on your phone (Potier)
  - e. Temple: I am in concurrence with the previous notions
- 5. Vote to Sanction at 25%
  - a. 9-0-0
- iii. CRT 54-017 (Emma Parrish) (Week 4)
  - 1. General Information
    - a. Fiscal Probation: Reversion  $\geq$  30%
    - b. Reverted \$250.00 of \$250.00; 100.00%
  - 2. Speech:
    - a. none
  - 3. Questions:
    - a. Borges: To my understanding they went through an issue with graduating and their accounting.
      - i. Chair: Nicholas Sollazzo and Zachary received 30% sanction
  - 4. Debate:
    - a. Uchoa: we have to be fair and 30% is what we gave the others



- b. Temple: In agreement. Saw prior individuals over summer
- 5. Vote to Sanction at 30%
  - a. 9-0-0
- iv. CRT 54-030 (Amanda Melendez) (Week 4)
  - 1. General Information
    - a. Fiscal Probation: Reversion  $\ge 20\%$
    - b. Reverted \$65.00 of \$200.00; 32.50%
  - 2. Speech:
    - a. No information other than 15% sanction would be applicable.
  - 3. Questions:
    - a. Borges: one of the Sistuhs allocations, what were the previous amounts?
      - i. What were the following names sanctioned at?...
      - ii. 10% or no sanction for most
    - b. Barekman: Is it common for individual allocation requests for the same event?
      - i. Borges: There were lots of issues with this meeting, the RSO sent individuals to CRT, but they were not informed at all. We don't want to sanction them, we sanctioned the RSO instead because that is where the mis-information stemmed from.
      - ii. Barekman: Are there thoughts as to when we sanction individuals what to take precedence with?
        - 1. Borges: Have considered it, one of the biggest issues would be putting Graduate students at a disadvantage
      - iii. Would it be possible to add some nuance to that without limitation of individuals?
  - 4. Debate:
    - a. Temple: in support of 10% sanction, the previous issue with SISTUHS would set a sort of precedence for now
    - b. Uchoa: lets be fair and keep it 10%
    - Borges: Despite feeling bad for these individuals when not on ORS, 10% seems the most fair
    - d. Barekman: I think that we should be sanctioning at 10%
  - 5. Vote to Sanction at 10%



October 11th, 2022 3:30 PM

- a. 9-0-0
- v. SB 53-31 (Student Nurses Association at Cocoa) (Week 3)
  - 1. General Information
    - a. Fiscal Probation: Reversion ≥ 20%; Missing VPF
    - b. Reverted \$3,110.80 of \$3,110.80; 100%
    - 2. Speech:

a.

- 3. Questions:
  - а.
- 4. Debate:
  - а.
- 5. Vote to (not) Sanction (at %)
  - a. postponed
- vi. SB 53-34 (Active Minds) (Week 3)
  - 1. General Information
    - a. Fiscal Probation: Late VPF; Failure to Contribute 95%
      - i. Due 5/27, notified 7/08, placed on fiscal probation 9/02, on official 3rd week
        - ii. Contributed \$1,102.22 of \$1,710.39; 64.44%
  - 2. Speech:
    - a. Treasurer dropped a month before and went through two surgeries, two of our sponsors withdrew their payment. We had to figure out how to get 1,000 after the sponsors dropped.
  - 3. Questions:
    - a. Temple: what is the sanction statutorily?
      - i. 30%
      - ii. What about the sponsors not contributing?
      - We tried to contact them afterwards, we did not have a bank account and they did not agree to sending the money to our RSO, 500 per each of the 2 organizations were supposed to be contributed
      - iv. Would these contributions help you make the payments?
      - v. We aren't even sure, the treasurer dropped and it made it extremely difficult
    - b. Borges: Can you clarify what was not bought?



## i. RSO

## 4. Debate:

- a. Temple: They need to be sanctioned but I don't believe they should be sanctioned the normal amount due to having no control about these negotiations.
- Barekman: I would be willing to support a 25% sanction but also 20% if emails were provided
- c. Borges: Do you have the sponsor's emails that we could send them?
- 5. Vote to (not) Sanction (at %)
  - a. tabled
- b. Verification of Purchases Forms:
  - i. None
- c. Post Funding Forms:
  - i. None
- d. Bills:
  - i. None
- 10. New Business:
  - a. Post-Funding Reviews:
    - i. None
  - b. Verification of Purchases Forms:
    - i. SB 53-54 (Active Minds)
      - 1. General Information
        - a. Senate Contribution: \$1,717.38
          - i. Speaker Honorarium: \$1,000.00
          - ii. Speaker Honorarium: \$1,000.00
          - iii. Repay ASFBO: (\$282.62)
        - b. RSO Expected Contribution: \$1,710.39
        - c. <u>RSO Actual Contribution</u>:
          - i. Conductive Paint: \$474.47 (Cost in dollars at the time)
          - ii. Amazon: \$74.41 + \$270.72 = \$345.13
          - iii. Repaying ASFBO: \$282.62
          - iv. Subtotal: \$1,102.22

          - vi. Maya: \$1,000.00 (Invoice & Paid by ASFBO)



- vii. Myles: \$750.00 (Invoice & Paid by ASFBO)
- 2. Speech
  - a. none
- 3. Questioning
  - a. Barekman: it looks like we are looking at different purchases here. Are the spending receipts the same as the bill line items?
    - i. RSO: The flights are what we could not cover , we explained that .
    - ii. Chair: We cannot count these towards the VPF, these were not eligible costs
    - iii. Barekman: The receipts for amazon are in pounds, was it converted correctly
    - b. Temple: why did you have a receipt that was not american?i. The purchase was done through someone else
    - c. Barekman: DML?
      - i. RSO: Working with artists, costs did not happen
      - ii. Do you know if the speaker fees changed during the funding process?
      - iii. RSO: The receipt was not written properly, the speaker price did not change
    - d. Borges: is their contribution not up to the 95%?
      - i. Chair: Cannot elaborate
- 4. Debate
  - a. Borges: they have everything, even if we can't accept it, we can approve it.
  - b. Templ: we should approve this , unsure about the addition of european currency
- 5. Vote to Approve VPF
  - a. 9-0-0
- c. Post Funding Forms:
  - i. None
- d. Bills:
  - i. None
- 11. Member Discussion:
  - a. Title VIII



- i. Committee Review
  - 1. How would you all like to conduct it?
    - a. Could split up by chapters or conduct more in depth review within committee
    - b. Will be having Chapter 800 reviewed by the full committee no matter what since it is 6 pages long
    - c. Temple: What is your preferred method?
      - i. I have not run it split by chapters but we can do it however you all like. Needs to be able to trust that everyone will actually read it.
    - d. Castillo: we will be splitting this up for next meeting right?i. Prefer for everyone to review 2 chapters
    - e. McClellan: If you were to do this together, you could knock two birds with one stone.
      - i. Chair: no matter what this will all be discussed in committee
    - f. Chapters: 1 / Committee: 4 / Abstain: 2
    - g. Barekman: I think that as a fiscal chair this is important but otherwise i would not read it
    - h. Temple: This will allow for leaderships perspective as well
    - i. McClellan: You could always review chapters on your own alone
    - j. Chair: we will start from the bottom going up
    - k. Barekman: I have a tendency to read everything and do everything
      - Chair: I go through and see what I want to be changed, I think having the whole committee go over this would be beneficial for all
- ii. Statutes Crash Course
- b. Appendix C
  - i. Committee Review
    - 1. <u>Title VIII</u> Pg. 22
      - a. Informal at 4:41PM
      - b. Formal 4:59 PM
- 12. Miscellaneous Business:
  - a. Scarlet's Snap Shots



- i. Robbins- crumbl
- ii. Temple- favorite symbol (Chi-Rho) on a jacket and me taking over Carthage in my favorite video game cause *Cartago Delanda Est.*
- iii. Potier- screenshot of a hairstyle
- iv. Uchoa- Was bored and wanted to go for a night exercise
- v. Borges- harry styles concert
- vi. Castillo- selfie moment
- vii. Wangen- stalking tyler on the internet
- viii. Patel- throwing trash on the top shelf
- ix. Barekman- potty
- 13. Final Roll Call: 9/9
- 14. Adjournment: 5:07 PM

Key:

P - Present

A - Absent

MTD - Move to Debate

MTV - Move to Vote

MTA- Move to Amend

PP - Postpone

PPI - Postpone Indefinitely

GC - General Consent