

.MEETING AGENDA

Call to Order: 3:13 PM

Roll Call & Verification of Quorum (5): 5 / 8

Name	Email	Initial	Final
Chair Rose	sgaors@ucf.edu	P	P
Vice-Chair Varela	sgsci15@ucf.edu	P	P
Senator Borges	sga_hm1@ucf.edu	P	P
Senator Yracheta	sga_cah2@ucf.edu	E	E
Senator Aitelhaj	sgachps1@ucf.edu	E	E
Senator Hendry	sgacreol1@ucf.edu	E	E
Senator Patel		E	E
Senator Cerda	sgasci6@ucf.edu	P	P
Pro Tempore Representative	sga_pro@ucf.edu	P	P

Approval of the Minutes:

- [9/19/24 Agenda](#)

Approved by GC

Approval of the Agenda:

Approved by GC

Announcements from the Chair

- Nothing

Announcements from the Vice Chair

- Hey y'all, ICTC is doing a social media transfer student spotlight for NTSW, if you know of any exemplary transfer students please talk to me so I can send them the interest form!

Announcements from Committee Members:

- None

Announcements from Non-Committee Members

Remember to email Chair Courts, ELA Richmond, and Pro Temp Morissette if you need to be absent from your Dean Meeting!!!

Old Business

- a. Post Funding Reviews
 - i. Postponed Until Needed
 1. CRT 54-108 (Anam Chaudhry) (Week 6)
 2. SB 54-36 (Counselor Education Doctoral Students Organization (CEDSO)) (Week 4)
 3. CRT 54-204 (Humanity First Student Organization) (Week 2)
 4. CRT 54-145 (Mina Amin) (Week 2)
- b. Verification of Purchases Forms
 - i. SB 55-42 (Club on Security and Intelligence)
 1. General Information
 - a. [Fiscal Bill 55-42](#)
 - b. Senate Contribution: \$3067.00
 - c. RSO Expected Contribution: \$3098.12
 - d. [RSO Actual Contribution](#)
 - i. (RSO) Hotel: \$2985.36

ii. (SG) Flights	\$2633.00
iii. (SG) ECM?	\$70.00
iv. (RSO) ECM?	-\$70.00
v. Total:	\$2915.36

2. Speech

- a. The RSO has reimbursed the business office. Receipt in the files.

3. Questioning

- a.

4. Debate

- a. Varela: I think we are good to approve

5. Vote to

- a. 4-0-1 APPROVED

ii. SB 55-10 (Formula Student)

1. General Information

- a. [Fiscal Bill 55-10](#)
b. Senate Contribution: \$25,000.00
c. RSO Expected Contribution: \$26,525.11
d. [RSO Actual Contribution](#)

i.

ii. **Total:** \$

2. Speech

- a. They are working on it.

3. Questioning

- a.

4. Debate

- a.

5. Vote to

- a. POSTPONED

iii. SB 55-19 (Forensic Science Association at UCF)

1. General Information

- a. [Fiscal Bill 55-19](#)
b. Senate Contribution: \$6,378.48
c. RSO Expected Contribution: \$6,378.49
d. [RSO Actual Contribution](#)

i. AAFS receipt – no cc#?

ii.

iii. **Total:** \$

2. Speech

- a. The RSO said the 4 digit number is the last 4 of the credit card. They sent more receipts, I haven't checked yet to see which ones are now good. Also have a group photo.

3. Questioning

- a. None
 - 4. Debate
 - a. Rose: They should be good from their original information
 - 5. Vote to
 - a. 4-0-1 APPROVED
- c. Bills
 - i. None
- d. Resolutions
 - i. None

New Business

- a. Post-Funding Reviews
 - i. None
- b. Verification of Purchases Forms
 - i. SB 55-25 (Chabad Jewish Student Group)
 - 1. General Information
 - a. [Fiscal Bill 55-25](#)
 - b. Senate Contribution: \$13,806.39
 - c. RSO Expected Contribution: \$13,806.39
 - d. [RSO Actual Contribution](#)
 - 2. Speech
 - a. Looks straightforward.
 - 3. Questioning
 - a. Varela: They are at 92%, is my math correct?
 - b. Rose: Bank statements look off?
 - c. Varela: They are off
 - 4. Debate
 - a. Varela: First invoice is from 2021
 - b. Butler: We should ask for a more recent invoice and clarification on the bank statements
 - 5. Vote to
 - a. Postponed
 - ii. SB 55-11 (Baja International)
 - 1. General Information
 - a. [Fiscal Bill 55-11](#)
 - b. Senate Contribution: \$23,541.98
 - c. RSO Expected Contribution: \$23,541.98
 - d. [RSO Actual Contribution](#)
 - 2. Speech
 - a. They received donations as their main portion. They said they were having issues finding receipts due to different people making purchases. They did provide pictures of the car, so we know they did actually build something. It's up

to us to decide how to proceed. I was thinking, if they provided us with a parts list from the car, we could review the prices around the time it was built. Also, we have figures from last year's car. [Minutes from last year's VPF](#).

3. Questioning

- a. Varela: What is the \$30k payment
- b. Rose: Software donation
- c. Rose: What do you all think about looking at historical part prices?
 - i. Varela:
 - ii. Borges: They could have reused some items, hard to determine which ones.
- d.

4. Debate

- a. Rose: I would feel bad approving them as is even though they clearly built a car.
- b. Varela: If it is an eligible cost we should accept the donation
- c. Rose: Question to the committee, do we want to call them in
 - i. Varela: yes

5. Vote to

- a. POSTPONED

c. Bills

i. Internal Bill 56-10

1. General Information

- a. [56-10](#)

2. Speech

- a. Statement from CRT Chair:

- i. Hi everyone! Sorry I can't make it as I'm at work, but most of this bill should be pretty reasonable.
- ii. For the definitions, we are removing virtual as we no longer fund those conferences after Covid. It was also agreed on by the AG and Comptroller to remove that language. We are also clarifying some of the other funding categories as we do fund those types of events, but we want to make it more clear to avoid confusion for future cases.
- iii. Along those same lines, we are clarifying our stance on charter buses. We added a limit to their distance as the cost efficiency is no longer there after a certain distance (flights are a more

effective use of the funds). AG and Comptroller also agree on this.

- iv. Lastly, we are changing up the supplements for travel based on distance. The old model saw only a \$500 supplement for international travel. This means someone traveling to Toronto receives \$500 more than someone going to Seattle. We are adjusting the amounts to more accurately reflect how much we can give out due to our budget and more relating to the actual costs of going to these locations.

3. Questioning

- a. None

4. Debate

- a. Varela: For the virtual thing, its bringing us in line with what we already do. Charter buses makes sense, we shouldn't do a.
- b. Borges: Virtual conferences should have already been stricken in a previous bill, AG should be able to just remove it. Bus thing makes sense. Allocation supplements is where I have disagreements. I don't think the amounts for each place is correct and needs more discussion.
- c. Varela: IN regards to what Borges said, I can see that, maybe we should look at the numbers more. I don't know personally flight costs. It would make sense if tickets are more expensive to hawaii than mexico to give more to hawaii (for example). More research would need to be done. I can see the concern. Im a bit worried that postposing the bill will stop necessary changed from being taken effect
- d. Borges: I agree with what Varela said. I would like to see statistics of flight quotes, like from the allocation tracker and supporting docs, how much is the average flight to X place internationally vs domestically, and vice versa. flights I saw were more expensive to some places than others. E.g. I would pay more to go to California than London. Or going to Hawaii could be very, very expensive.
- e. Rose: We could a different amount for each state/country?
- f. Varela: Can we strike the allocation part and asl CRT Chair to come explain it and pass the bus provision

- g. Rose: These probably should have been separate bills
- h. Borges: CRT Chair could have just changed spending policy himself but this bill has to go through is because he added things
- i. Varela: I would be ok voting no on this bill and explaining in senate. I don't want to amend a bill without the author present.

5. Vote

- a. 0-4-1 INFAVORBL Y

d. Resolutions

- i. None

Member Discussion

Miscellaneous Business

- ORS sanctions bill – judicial concerns
 - Varela: Judicial had some concerns about the bill we passed last week. In their mind they think they have the right to appeals, and they think this would be giving us the right to do an appeal. After thinking about it, I disagree with their definition of an appeal. I don't think it's really an appeal. I would still think ORS should have the ability to lower appeals at least, increasing is a little more problematic. We should still allow appeals of the reconsideration. Judicial would still have final say though.

Final Roll Call: 5 / 8

Adjournment: 4:18 pm

Key:

P - Present

A - Absent

MTD - Move to Debate

MTV - Move to Vote

MTA- Move to Amend

PP - Postpone

PPI - Postpone Indefinitely

GC - General Consent