

## MEETING AGENDA

Call to Order: 3:07 PM

Roll Call & Verification of Quorum (5): 7/ 8

Name	Email	Initial	Final
Chair Rose	<a href="mailto:sgaors@ucf.edu">sgaors@ucf.edu</a>	P	P
Vice-Chair Varela	<a href="mailto:sgsci15@ucf.edu">sgsci15@ucf.edu</a>	P	P
Senator Borges	<a href="mailto:sga_hm1@ucf.edu">sga_hm1@ucf.edu</a>	E (3:13)	P
Senator Yracheta	<a href="mailto:sga_cah2@ucf.edu">sga_cah2@ucf.edu</a>	E	E
Senator Aitelhaj	<a href="mailto:sgachps1@ucf.edu">sgachps1@ucf.edu</a>	P	P
Senator Hendry	<a href="mailto:sgacreol1@ucf.edu">sgacreol1@ucf.edu</a>	P	P
Senator Patel		P	P
Senator Cerda	<a href="mailto:sgasci6@ucf.edu">sgasci6@ucf.edu</a>	P	P
Pro Tempore Representative	<a href="mailto:sga_pro@ucf.edu">sga_pro@ucf.edu</a>	P	P

#### Approval of the Minutes:

- [9/5/24 Agenda](#)

Approved by GC

#### Approval of the Agenda:

Approved by GC

#### Announcements from the Chair

- None

#### Announcements from the Vice Chair

- Hi. Lets get this bread

#### Announcements from Committee Members:

- None

#### Announcements from Non-Committee Members

Remember to email Chair Courts, ELA Richmond, and Pro Temp Morissette if you need to be absent from your Dean Meeting!!!

#### Old Business

- a. Post Funding Reviews
  - i. Postponed Until Needed
    1. CRT 54-108 (Anam Chaudhry) (Week 6)
    2. SB 54-36 (Counselor Education Doctoral Students Organization (CEDSO)) (Week 4)
    3. CRT 54-204 (Humanity First Student Organization) (Week 2)
    4. CRT 54-145 (Mina Amin) (Week 2)
- b. Verification of Purchases Forms
  - i. SB 55-42 (Club on Security and Intelligence)
    1. General Information
      - a. [Fiscal Bill 55-42](#)
      - b. Senate Contribution: \$3067.00
      - c. RSO Expected Contribution: \$3098.12
      - d. [RSO Actual Contribution](#)
        - i. (RSO) Hotel: \$2985.36
        - ii. (SG) Flights \$2633.00
        - iii. (SG) ECM? \$70.00
        - iv. (RSO) ECM? -\$70.00

- v. **Total:** **\$2915.36**
  - 2. Speech
    - a. The RSO will be reimbursing the business office.
  - 3. Questioning
    - a.
  - 4. Debate
    - a.
  - 5. Vote to
    - a. POSTPONED
- ii. SB 55-10 (Formula Student)
  - 1. General Information
    - a. [Fiscal Bill 55-10](#)
    - b. Senate Contribution: \$25,000.00
    - c. RSO Expected Contribution: \$26,525.11
    - d. [RSO Actual Contribution](#)
      - i.
      - ii. **Total:** **\$**
  - 2. Speech
    - a. Awaiting response from RSO.
  - 3. Questioning
    - a.
  - 4. Debate
    - a.
  - 5. Vote to
    - a. POSTPONED
- c. Bills
  - i. None
- d. Resolutions
  - i. None

## New Business

- a. Post-Funding Reviews
  - i. None
- b. Verification of Purchases Forms
  - i. SB 55-43 (Global Legal Empowerment Brigade)
    - 1. General Information
      - a. [Fiscal Bill 55-43](#)
      - b. Senate Contribution: \$5,950.00
      - c. RSO Expected Contribution: \$5,950.00
      - d. [RSO Actual Contribution](#)
        - i.
        - ii. **Total:** **\$**
    - 2. Speech

- a. From what I understand, the RSO did fundraising through Global Brigades, who then paid for the trip. So, the RSO never actually saw the money. I have asked the RSO for confirmation that the donations went through from Global Brigades. Do we think this would be sufficient?
3. Questioning
  - a.
4. Debate
  - a. Hendry: I would rather do our due diligence and ask if they have receipts
  - b. Varela: I agree with asking, but I would be comfortable approving because we see that 12k was paid, just don't have receipts
5. Vote to
  - a.
- ii. SB 55-19 (Forensic Science Association at UCF)
  1. General Information
    - a. [Fiscal Bill 55-19](#)
    - b. Senate Contribution: \$6,378.48
    - c. RSO Expected Contribution: \$6,378.49
    - d. [RSO Actual Contribution](#)
      - i. AAFS receipt – no cc#?
      - ii.
      - iii. **Total:** **\$**
  2. Speech
    - a.
  3. Questioning
    - a. Varela: Only docs we have?
    - b. Rose: As of now
    - c. Varela: Pic of conference happening?
    - d. Rose: No
    - e.
  4. Debate
    - a. Butler: I support approving this because all the invoices seem to have registration numbers. If y'all want a picture, that's ok but I'm fine approving this
    - b. Hendry: Some of the receipts did not match up to the emails but I was able to link them all correctly. Also some expenses were bought with personal funds which is strange and confusing
    - c. Varela: Are we going to accept the invoices for the registration fee? It seems outside our normal standard of proof

- d. Rose: I can reach out for more clarification on those invoices.
- e. Varela: I would be comfortable with Rose reaching out for clarification
- f. Hendry: There is a duplicate receipt, so we are missing one receipt.
- g. Rose: They are still at 95% with the missing receipt
- h. Rose: I think we should reach out for invoice clarification

5. Vote to

- a. POSTPONED

iii.

c. Bills

- i. None

d. Resolutions

- i. None

## Member Discussion

None

## Miscellaneous Business

- Discussion with SEDS
  - Update: Phong confirmed that it is ok for the RSO to reimburse for 54<sup>th</sup> session bill. Also have new information their spending. It looks like the reason they didn't have any documentation for purchases on their end is that they made all purchases with SG funds, overspending the amount we provided by 93%. This means we now do have a figure of how much they spent / how much they would need to reimburse: the \$2210.61 they overspent + an extra \$273.66 to bring them to 95% of the contribution stated on [the bill](#) for a total of \$2484.27. Should we give the RSO the go ahead?
- Discussion of a potential bill if it's allowed?
  - Borges: According to Roberts Rules we would not be able to re-see the sanction. Measures (what we call legislation) can be reseen the next meeting due to the ability to approve (or amend) the previous meetings agenda, but once that time passes, title viii only gives the power to see the sanction to judicial (the rso or individual has 10 business days to request judicial see it) – that time has passed.
  - Varela: I think we should use this as a guide for the future rather than retroactively fixing this sanction with a reimbursement
  - Rose: Do we need to probate them? They overspent by nearly 100%
  - Hendry: We need that money back. We should ask them for the money and if they dont give it back, put them on probation
  - Rose: Can we change ORS policy?
  - Borges: Yes, only SG controls ORS policy & keeps track of our sanctions, but anything related to the business office (like if they can accept payments late) we need to consult them on
  - Varela: I would support changing the policy because we should not punish future e-boards for actions they did not commit.
  - Rose: Move to informal
  - Varela (From Brodie): It is not our job to fix the BO debts and issues
  - Hendry: The only topic now is the ORS policy to allow reimbursement.

Final Roll Call: 8 / 8

Adjournment: 4pm

Key:

P - Present

A - Absent

MTD - Move to Debate

MTV - Move to Vote

MTA- Move to Amend

PP - Postpone

PPI - Postpone Indefinitely

GC - General Consent