

MEETING AGENDA

1. Call to Order: 2 PM

2. Roll Call & Verification of Quorum:(3) 5/5

Name	Email	Initial	Final
Chair Rose	sgaors@ucf.edu	Р	Р
Vice-Chair Varela	sgsci15@ucf.edu	Р	Р
Senator Hendry	sgacreol1@ucf.edu	Р	Р
Senator Beneche	Sgsci_2@ucf.edu	E (2:38Pm)	E
Senator Grosso	sga_sci8@ucf.edu	Р	E
Pro Tempore Representative	sga pro@ucf.edu	Р	Р

3. Approval of the Minutes:

APPROVED BY GC

4. Approval of the Agenda:

APRROVED BY GC

- 5. Announcements from the Chair:
 - a. Should have 4 people coming in for PFRs. Also should be able to finish some VPFs today.
- 6. Announcements from the Vice Chair:

None. Ill be here the whole meeting finally

7. Announcements from Committee Members:

This meeting is held in compliance with the Government in the Sunshine Act. Funded by the Activity and Service Fee through the UCF Student Government.



8. Announcements from Non-Committee Members:

None

- 9. Old Business
 - a. Post-Funding Reviews

i. FAO 56-020 (Knights Nakshatra)

- 1. General Information
 - a. FAO Minutes
 - b. Reverted 100% of the \$765.71 Allocation
 - c. Statutes Recommend 30% Sanction
 - d. Supporting Documents
- 2. Speech
 - a. We were waiting on the email on the RSO email to the advisor.
 - RSO: I have included the RSO advisor email in an email I sent to you before the meeting.
- 3. Questioning
 - a. Collazo: Could you explain what the issue is with the RSO
 - Rose: From what I remember, they had sent an email to their advisor to sign the ERF form but did not hear back until too late
 - c. RSO: Yes that is correct
 - d. Varela: Does ORS consider the advisor making a mistake is considered the same as the RSO making a mistake
 - Rose: In the past we don't fault the RSO for mistakes outside the RSO but we do not know what role the advisor applies in that distinction
 - f. Grosso: ERF form confusion?
 - RSO: Yes we initially attached the wrong form and there was just a general confusion about the FAO process
 - g. Rose: Due to the reversion date you would have had to reach out earlier like September. Why wait?
 - h. RSO: The emails I sent you were within the needed timeline
 - i. Rose: The ones I see say Nov 3rd
 - j. RSO: I see that. I think I forwarded you the wrong one
 - k. Rose: Motion to table (APPROVED BY GC)

This meeting is held in compliance with the Government in the Sunshine Act. Funded by the Activity and Service Fee through the UCF Student Government.



- I. Varela: Motion o pick up off table (APPROVED BY GC)
- 4. Debate
 - a. Varela: Since the advisor is part of the RSO I find a sanction proper. I would want a 10% sanction
 - Beneche: I agree with Varela. An advisor is one of teh backbones of the RSO an dit reflects poorly on them. I'm fine with a 10% sanction
 - c. Collazo: As a question to the RSO, did you ever follow up with the advisor?
 - d. RSO: I sent a couple with my ucf student email account
 - e. Collazo: Did any other members of teh RSO reach out to the advisor
 - f. RSO: our captains
 - g. Collazo: I believe a 10% sanction is reasonable. This is an unfortunate circumstance. It be useful to clarify the advisor to RSO role.
 - h. Beneche: Motion for 10 and I'll send you an email after this meeting with instructions on% sanction
- 5. Vote

Rose: Are able to appeal this decision to the judicial brand 6-0-0 10% SANCTIONED

- b. Verification of Purchases Forms
 - i. SB 56-09 (AIAA)
 - General Information
 - a. Fiscal Bill 56-09
 - b. Senate Contribution: \$1016.94
 - c. RSO Expected Contribution: \$1016.94
 - d. Supporting Documents
 - 2. Speech
 - a.
 - Discussion
 - a. The reimbursement went through for 56-09 and 56-10
 - b. Motion to approve 56-09 and 56-10 as a block.
 - 4. Vote
 - a. 4-0-0 APPROVED
 - ii. SB 56-10 (AIAA)

This meeting is held in compliance with the Government in the Sunshine Act. Funded by the Activity and Service Fee through the UCF Student Government.

02/21/25



- 1. General Information
 - a. Fiscal Bill 56-10
 - b. Senate Contribution: \$925.12
 - c. RSO Expected Contribution: \$925.12
 - d. Supporting Documents
- 2. Speech
 - a.
- 3. Discussion
 - a.
- 4. Vote
 - a. 4-0-0 APPROVED (Part of a block)
- iii. SB 56-13 (National Society of Black Engineers)
 - 1. General Information
 - a. Fiscal Bill 56-13
 - b. Senate Contribution: \$7,600.00
 - c. RSO Expected Contribution: \$7,600.00
 - d. Supporting Documents
 - 2. Speech
 - a.
 - 3. Discussion
 - a. They said the duplicate name was because they paid for their bus driver's hotel room. We can't count things that aren't also fundable by us. Therefore, they are providing more registration receipts to make up the difference.
 - 4. Vote
 - a.
- 10. New Business
 - a. Post-Funding Reviews

i. CRT 56-022 (Zoe Wainscott)

- 1. General Information
 - a. CRT Minutes
 - b. Reverted 100% of the \$1,000.00 Allocation
 - c. Statutes Recommend 30% Sanction
 - d. Supporting Documents
- 2. Speech

This meeting is held in compliance with the Government in the Sunshine Act. Funded by the Activity and Service Fee through the UCF Student Government.



- a. The student could not make it to today's meeting, so I put what they wrote in their email.
- b. Statement: "The allocations went unused because I broke my arm about a month before the conference and therefore was unable to go. I contacted Corrine LeDrew that I was not able to attend anymore and therefore the funding was unnecessary. I've attached the image of that exchange as well."
- 3. Questioning

a. Hendry: MTD

4. Debate

- a. Varela: Assuming she is telling the truth about her arm I am k with a 0% sanction
- b. Collazo: Despite her coordinator telling her to let SG know she cant go, she still did not tell SG so I can in favor for a 30% sanction
- c. Hendry: It is traditional that we sanction less for people that communicate with us so while I wont be favor for a full sanction but still a partial one
- d. Varela: If she would have told us would we get those funds back
- e. Rose: I'm not sure
- f. Collazo: I sympathize with the healing process but she had ample time to let SG know
- g. Varela: considering that she could not control the breaking of her arm her only issue was not letting SG know so I would be in favor of only a 10% sanction
- h. Collazo: We have had to postpone or deny bills because of lack of funding so considering this is \$1000 dollars I would recommend a 20% sanction
- i. Varela: Can we allocate the funds if she let us know after the reversion date?
- j. Varela: I understand the allocation could have been used so I would be open to a sanction but less than 20%



- k. Rose: I don't think we should sanction them without them here. On the actual sanction i am leaning more towards Varela's side since they couldn't control breaking their arm.
- I. Collazo: The previous sanctions that we placed, some of the fault was on us so we had some sympathy. This individual does not have the same barriers as international students so given thet simply did not respond to their email so there has to be some sense of responsibility so a 20%
- Collazo: Motion to postpone (current committee consensus is 18%)
- 5. Vote
 - a. POSTPONED

ii. CRT 56-069 (Manu Pillai)

- 1. General Information
 - a. CRT Minutes
 - b. Reverted 100% of the \$1,000.00 Allocation
 - c. Statutes Recommend 30% Sanction
 - d. Supporting Documents

2. Speech

a. Manu: My visa was not approved by the time of travel. I got the visa close to a month after application which was 2 weeks after the conference date. My proffessor covered the registration fund. The registration for the conference was reimbursed.

Questioning

- a. Collazo: What dates were the conference
- b. Manu: Supposed to happen from Sep 29th-Oct 4th
- c. Collazo: When did you request the funding from CRT
- d. Manu: Approved on September 3rd
- e. Varela: Exact date for application for visas
- f. Manu: Around the first-second week of September
- g. Collazo: When did you know about this conference
- h. Manu: 3-4 months before September
- i. Manu: I am also an international student so the situation was complez
- j. Collazo: When did you know you had to request funding



k. Manu: Mid augustl. Varela: MTD

4 Debate

- a. Collazo: In regards to this one. I'm feeling that a sanction is appropriate for this one. I don't know why CRT would approve this allocation given that it is so close to the conference date. Also the individual knew 3 months before hand so there as ample amount of time to acquire all the needed documentation. This one is also a higger amount than the last reviews
- b. Hendry: I agree that a sanction is proper but I want to clarify that conversations from previous students today, international travel for international students needs many steps and the visa is one of the last steps in the process
- c. Rose: You reached out to te BO to let you know you would not need the funds? If so what date?
- d. Manu: I think it was the 18th of October
- e. Varela: I dont know why CRT approved this allocation knowing there was a visa that was still needed
- f. Hendry: Based on the fact that he is financially trained and that he pushed for CRT to approve despite it being passed the deadline. CRT should have never approved Dit though so I feel a 10% sacntion is in order
- g. Collazo: I beliebe a 15% sanction is in order becaue of a previous sanction
- h. Varela: I disagreed last time and I still disagree
- i. Collazo: Motion for 15% sanction
- 5. Vote
 - a. 4-2-0 15% SANCTIONED

iii. CRT 56-073 (Olena Kondrachuk)

- 1. General Information
 - a. CRT Minutes
 - b. Reverted 100% of the \$350.00 Allocation
 - c. Statutes Recommend 30% Sanction
 - d. Supporting Documents
- 2. Speech



a. Olena: When I was applying initially this conference was in person so I faced some obstacles. I felt overloaded with work and my lab so my supervisor told me to not to go to conference. The conference ended up being online due to the hurricane so I ended up only paying \$25 instead of the \$300

3. Questioning

- a. Collazo: why not use the allocated funds
- Olena: Since I am an international student it is way more complicated and I was overloaded with work so I didn't move forward.
- c. Grosso: Regardless if the event was going to be online or not did you submit the required forms on time?
- d. Olena: My supervisor told me not to work as we did not need that money anymore and there were additional steps as an international students.
- e. Grosso: If the event was not online, did you intend to use Student Government funds
- f. Olena: No
- g. Varela: Why did you use SG funds knowing it would be difficult
- h. Olena: I did not know that it would be complicated
- i. Hendry: After you realized, you were not going to use the funds did you reach out to the BO or the SG
- j. Olena: No that is my mistake
- k. Hendry: MTD

4. Debate

- a. Collazo: So, while I do sympathize with the student, they stated in the CRT minutes they said they were CRT trained so they should know the funding process and the punishments for not using money. I would be open to a 10% sanction but not removing the sanction
- b. Hendry: I agree with Collazo
- c. Collazo: Move to sanction 10%

5. Vote

a. 5-0-0 10% SANCTIONED

This meeting is held in compliance with the Government in the Sunshine Act. Funded by the Activity and Service Fee through the UCF Student Government.



iv. CRT 56-089 (Kalp Devangbhai Thakkar)

- 1. General Information
 - a. CRT Minutes
 - b. Reverted 100% of the \$950.00 Allocation
 - c. Statutes Recommend 30% Sanction
 - d. Supporting Documents

2. Speech

a. Kalp: My conference was supposed to be in Australia, but I was unable to connect to find/contact my travel coordinator. This process got a bit delayed due to this. Since I'm an international student I had a more complex process to get approved. I also had issues getting visas to travel. Due to all of this I decided not to pursue the trip anymore

Questioning

- a. Collazo: So, I have a good understanding. You were unable to get your travel visa and you forgot to fill out a form?
- b. Kalp: No, I was submitting forms one by one, and I can't get a visa until I submit all the forms.
- c. Varela: You could not go because the form process was too long?
- d. Kalp: Yes, will forms and visa
- e. Hendry: This person that you were filling out forms with was your advisor?
- f. Kalp: Travel coordinator
- g. Hendry: Did they suggest you seek SG funding after the approval process
- h. Rose: Travel coordinator is assigned after the funding is approved
- i. Varela: MTD (APPROVED BY GC)

4. Debate

a. Collazo: I think that the one thing I took away from the student's explanation of events is that the process was too long and too complex so they decided not to attend the conference in Australia, but we allocated \$950 that could not go to another student. So, I am leaning towards a 20-15

02/21/25



- percent sanction. It is not their fault but they must complete the financial process, so they are responsible for their knowledge.
- b. Hendry: I just want to clarify that for international travel there is extended deadlines for those events
- c. Varela: I would be more open to a 10% sanction
- d. Grosso: I would also be open to a lighter sanction but still a little more than a 10% sanction
- e. Varela: I would like to preface that teh last student never intended to use the money either
- f. Beneche: I would be open to a lighter sanction but more than a 10%
- g. Collazo: Motion for 15% sanction
- 5. Vote
 - a. 5-1-0: 15% SANCTIONED
- b. Verification of Purchases Forms
 - i. SB 56-06 (Society of Women Engineers)
 - 1. General Information
 - a. Fiscal Bill 56-06
 - b. Senate Contribution: \$12,096.28
 - c. RSO Expected Contribution: \$12,096.28
 - d. Supporting Documents
 - 2. Speech
 - a. The RSO was awarded funding from CECS in addition to SG funds. CECS paid for \$7,500 worth of hotels. This should be added to the contribution amount in the VPF to get the final number.
 - 3. Discussion
 - a. Collazo: Motion to approve
 - 4. Vote
 - a. 4-0-0 APPROVED
- c. Bills
 - i. None
- 11. Member Discussion

Varela: UCF Global / International Student issues. CRT issues

This meeting is held in compliance with the Government in the Sunshine Act. Funded by the Activity and Service Fee through the UCF Student Government.



12. Miscellaneous Business

13. Final Roll Call: 4/5

14. Adjournment: 3:48pm

Key:

P - Present

A - Absent

MTD - Move to Debate

MTV - Move to Vote

MTA- Move to Amend

PP - Postpone

PPI - Postpone Indefinitely

GC - General Consent

This meeting is held in compliance with the Government in the Sunshine Act. Funded by the Activity and Service Fee through the UCF Student Government.