MEETING AGENDA

# Call to Order: 1:04 PM

# Roll Call & Verification of Quorum: 9/10 (6)

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Name** | **Email** | **Initial** | **Final** |
| Chair Courts | sga\_ljr@ucf.edu | P | P |
| Vice Chair Collazo | sga\_sci4@ucf.edu | P | P |
| Senator Al-Qudah | sga\_sci1@ucf.edu | P | P |
| Senator DiChiara | sgacie2@ucf.edu | P | P |
| Senator Larsen | sgaecs7@ucf.edu | P | P |
| Senator Gimenez Valero | sgasci15@ucf.edu | P | P |
| Senator Naguib | sgachps4@ucf.edu | P | P |
| Senator Wangen | sgachps5@ucf.edu | A (P 1:42) | P |
| ELA Richmond | sgsci13@ucf.edu | P | P |
| Pro Tempore Representative | sga\_pro@ucf.edu | P | P |

# Approval of the Minutes: [5.16.24](https://ucf.sharepoint.com/:w:/r/sites/UCFTeam-StudentGovernment_GRP-SGLegislative-LJR/Shared%20Documents/SG%20Legislative%20-%20LJR/56th%20Session/Agendas/LJR_Meeting_05_16_2024.docx?d=w87761641e95043059fcd958ecf4454d6&csf=1&web=1&e=GvrkGR) Approved by GC

# Approval of the Agenda: Approved by GC

1. Open Forum.
   1. Johnathan Polera: Good Afternoon, LJR, I am the Attorney General Appointee. He looks forward to having a close relationship with the committee.

# Announcements from the Chair Courts-

1. Hey guys!! Today we are going to be seeing two bills, a few absences, and beginning the wonderful journey of looking over the statutes. Before we begin, I do want to speak about a few expectations that I have for this year.
   1. Be respectful
   2. Make sure that we are all adhering to Robert’s Rules to the best of our abilities

# Announcements from the Vice Chair Collazo-

# Hi everyone! I hope you have a magical day! Fun act during the first year of Queen Elizabeth II’s Reign we had three queens in England.

# Announcements from Committee Members-

1. None.

# Announcements from Non-Committee Members

1. None.

# Old Business-

* 1. Bills
     1. None.
  2. Resolutions
     1. None.
  3. Absences
     1. None.
  4. Blanket Excuses
     1. None.

# New Business-

* 1. Bills
     1. [Internal Bill 56-01](https://ucf.sharepoint.com/:w:/s/UCFTeam-StudentGovernment_GRP-SGLegislative-Senate/EUTfhx3sO_9Dlnmq7lzyTrABF-RPDb_AV4V-6-RUYQhzSA?e=5Lu3mz) [Updates to Title III] [Senator Almenar]
     2. Motion for S-Q-D: 3-3-3 Approved by GC
        1. Speech
           1. Senator Almenar: Written the Legislation on behalf of the E&A Committee. It clarifies the role of the Chair, Vice Chair and Pro tempore during confirmations.
        2. Questioning
           1. Pro Tempore Rep: Clarify the informal powers.

Senator Almenar: E&A Chair calls each appointee up and Motions to vote. Speaker and Sergeant-at-arms can focus on decorum.

* + - * 1. ELA Richmond: Clarify the legislation a little more.

Senator Almenar: It is different because we are confirming roles in student government. This is not a drastic change.

* + - * 1. ELA Richmond: Example of the Speaker yielding to the E&A Chair.

Senator Almenar: They are not yielding. The language of the bill does not state that. In theory, E&A Chair or Vice Chair or Pro Tempore should have been at the appointee's confirmation so they know what questions have already been asked. Speaker does not.

* + - * 1. ELA Richmond: How is the E&A Chair supposed to be acting Chair, if the speaker does not yield?

Senator Almenar: It is not the intention of the bill to have the Speaker to yield.

* + - * 1. Senator Larsen: What is the problem with asking the same question again?

Senator Almenar: Time Constraint. E&A lasted for 3 and half hours.

* + - * 1. Motion to Table until the next regularly scheduled meeting: Approved by GC
      1. Debate
         1. None.
      2. Vote
         1. None.
    1. [Internal Bill 56-02](https://ucf.sharepoint.com/:w:/s/UCFTeam-StudentGovernment_GRP-SGLegislative-Senate/Ed4ZfoCWWrBOrBzAvF0LPnsBHrPTNOmyFiqk1h2AVIydIA?e=g6L2TQ) [Updates to Title XII] [Vice-Chair Collazo]
       1. Speech
          1. To add the Judicial, Senate, and Executive Committee to the transparency statutes. Mandates that branch heads will meet each month.
       2. Questioning
          1. Pro Tempore: What was the idea of making Chief Justice acting chair?

Collazo: Originally the Vice Chair and Chair would switch, picked Chief Justice because they are meant to be impartial already. Could amend

* + - * 1. Al-Qudah- Does this create a formal entity for branch heads to come together?

Collazo: Yes, it’s essentially putting it into statutes to ensure that we are always enforcing collaboration.

* + - * 1. Dichiara- Are you open to amending the authority of the Committee?

Collazo: I am open to that amendment. I feel that they do it already.

* + - * 1. Dichiara- You say that it will be comprised of the following members, but I see no mention of Caucuses. How do you plan on dealing with that?

Good question, here’ the thing. Currently they are not a budget and the members are already agents that belong to a branch, so I don’t really see the need to add them in there.

* + - * 1. Pro Tempore: Would these be held to the same standards?

Collazo: No, purposefully did not add this language because they are already branch heads. Regardless someone is going to be there to represent.

* + - * 1. DiChaira- There is no mention of election commission, are you only relying on the supervisor to mention it at senate?

Collazo: It’s a bit tricky since they are more of an agency than a branch.

* + - * 1. Larsen- Can you elaborate on how this would increase transparency?

Collazo: Entire point is to increase collaboration in events that respective branches host. Also, so there is no excuse for not talking and being transparent

* + - * 1. Al-Qudah- I see strike throughs, will those be adapted

Collazo: Yes, I plan to change them in debate. I think that it should be exclusive to heads, not SG at large. Will add duties to chairs regarding giving announcements to senate.

* + - * 1. Al-Qudah- How would that not leave out the Caucuses?

Collazo: You can’t be a member of a caucus unless you are an SG Agent, additionally the branch heads are responsible for appointing. They’re already meeting.

* + - * 1. Pro Tempore- would this prevent leadership from meeting off the books?

Collazo- No, Robert's Rules allows executive session which is debate outside of minutes.

* + - * 1. Larsen: A big purpose is to increase collaboration, is there a more appropriate title other than XII?

Collazo: Good question, it’s something I also struggle with. I didn’t want to create a new title, therefore transparency statutes make sense as this has a lot to do with this. Am open to making a new title.

* + - 1. Debate
         1. Collazo: motion to see amendment

Speech: I want to remove this, as it is not needed. They will be reporting to senate on a regular basis

Questioning

Debate

Pro Tempore: I like this

* + - * 1. Vote on Vice Chair Collazo’s Amendment: 9-0-1
        2. Vote on Senator DiChiara’s Amendment: 9-0-1
        3. Valero: I think i will be going against, as I feel that it is redundant. The branch heads already communicate, so i don’t think that we should have a new committee to ensure this.
        4. Richmond: Voting against, I think it is over legislation. Again, branch heads already meet. Can be seen as not collaborating.
        5. Larsen: Also speaking against. This boxes in branch heads, and is necessary. These roles are already expected to collaborate. I also don’t think it should be there.
        6. Wangen: Speaking against, I am also uncomfortable with the stipulation that they can make proclamation
      1. Vote
         1. Vote Count: 1-7-2
  1. Resolutions and Special Acts
     1. None.
  2. Absences
     1. Motion for S-Q-D: 1-1-1
     2. Cameron Renda: Motion to Postpone:
        1. Speech
           1. Chair Kourts: She’s at her sister’s graduation.
        2. Questioning
           1. None.
        3. Debate
           1. Senator DiChiara: She’s at her sister’s graduation.
        4. Vote
           1. Vote Count: 10-0-1
     3. Motion for S-Q-D: 2-1-1
     4. Camila Gimenez Valero
        1. Speech
           1. Senator Gimenez Valero: Going on the Trip and I will not be present during the meeting. I will be on a plane.
        2. Questioning
           1. Senator Larsen: You only want to be excused for Final Roll Call?

Senator Gimenez Valero: Yes

* + - 1. Debate
         1. Pro Tempore Morissette: Seems straight forward, move to vote.
      2. Vote
         1. Vote Count: 8-0-2
  1. Blanket Excuses
     1. Julian Larsen
        1. Speech
           1. Senator Larsen: Internship in Norway.
        2. Questioning
           1. Pro Tempore: What is the timestamp in the email?

Senator Larsen: November 2, 2023

* + - * 1. Vice Chair Collazo: Will this affect your ability as Senator and Chair?

Senator Larsen: No, it will not.

* + - * 1. ELA Richmond: have you considered to sign-over your seat?

Senator Larsen: Yes, but I will be fine.

* + - 1. Debate
         1. Senator Wangen: In the past we haven’t approved non-academic internships.
         2. ELA Richmond: Voting in favor.
         3. Vice Chair Collazo: Voting in favor.
         4. Senator Larsen: Point of Information: it does count for academic credit.
      2. Vote
         1. Vote Count: 8-0-2

1. Member Discussion-
   1. Statute Training and Updates
      1. [Presentation](https://www.canva.com/design/DAGF-9e6SHk/rY-ZJzXbnCMGElMuEoFgbA/edit?utm_content=DAGF-9e6SHk&utm_campaign=designshare&utm_medium=link2&utm_source=sharebutton)
         1. Title I
         2. Title II
         3. Title III (pt 1)

# Miscellaneous Business-.

* 1. Ice Breaker: What is your favorite title?

# Final Roll Call: 10/10 (6)

# Adjournment: 2:28 PM

# Key:

P - Present

A - Absent

MTD - Move to Debate

MTV - Move to Vote

MTA- Move to Amend

PP - Postpone

PPI - Postpone Indefinitely

GC - General Consent