

MEETING AGENDA

- 1. Call to Order: 4:03 P.M.
- 2. Roll Call & Verification of Quorum:
 - a. 9/14

Name	Email	Initial	Final
Chair Larsen	<u>sga_fao@ucf.edu</u>	Р	Р
Vice-Chair Memory	sgaecs9@ucf.edu	Р	Р
Senator Wangen	sgachps@ucf.edu	Р	Р
Senator Shanun	sgaecs12@ucf.edu	А	А
Senator Lim	sga_ba4@ucf.edu	Р	Р
Senator Kaufman	sgaecs6@ucf.edu	Р	Р
Senator Connolly	sga_ecs3@ucf.edu	Р	Р
Senator LoGalbo	sga_sci10@ucf.edu	Р	Р
Senator Butler	sga_ecs2@ucf.edu	E	E
Senator Yracheta	sga_cah2@ucf.edu	Р	E
Senator Aitelhaj	sgachps1@ucf.edu	А	А
Senator Patel	sga_med1@ucf.edu	E	E
ORS Rep	sgaors@ucf.edu	Р	Р
Pro Tempore Representative	sga_pro@ucf.edu	А	А

2. Approval of last meetings minutes: Approved



- 3. Approval of the Agenda: Approved
- 4. Announcements from the Chair
 - a. None
- 5. Announcements from the Vice Chair
 - a. None
- 6. Announcements from Committee Members
 - a. None
- 7. Old Business
 - a. None
- 8. New Business
 - a. 56-01
 - i. Speech (5 min)
 - Society of Women in Space Exploration. Current President. RSO open to all degrees, 70 members, "space for everyone", past professional speakers, events, resume, interviews etc. Female presence in the field. Funding for a club banner establishes presence in the University. Artwork has SGA logo S. \$89.34 for 6x4 ft banner.
 - ii. Question (5 min)
 - 1. Wangen
 - a. Question to previous FAO members, can RSOs get banners in promotional item funding? Precedent was only for distributed items, not banners, has this changed?
 - b. Larsen: Feels it would be a promotional item due to its use, up to the committee, maybe could be a project.
 - 2. Wangen
 - a. Familiar with the post-funding process?
 - b. Yes, that was covered in financial training.
 - 3. Memory
 - a. Process for choosing this banner-maker
 - b. Discount, two price matches, best choice
 - 4. Lim
 - a. 2 Financially trained officers
 - b. Yes, Holly Engle and Caroline
 - 5. Rose
 - a. Where will it be hung?
 - b. Engineering building
 - 6. Larsen
 - a. Is the Pegasus allowed?



- b. Clip art, not the exact UCF Pegasus
- iii. Debate (5 min)
 - 1. Wangen
 - a. Are we going to fund banners, committee should make our precedent now
 - 2. Rose
 - a. In favor, we should fund as much as we can as long as it isn't explicitly prohibited
 - 3. RSO Rep Caroline
 - a. The banners get taken down from display and used for events as well
 - 4. LoGalbo
 - a. In favor, promotional item due to how it is used
 - 5. Wangen
 - a. Are we funding banners or not? All banners, not certain clubs and not others.
 - 6. Rose
 - a. Let's set the precedent to fund banners
 - 7. Memory
 - a. In favor, feel it is a promotional item
- iv. Rose MTV
- v. Vote: 9-0-1 Pass
- b. 56-02
 - i. Wangen
 - 1. 5-5-5 for all allocations hereafter
 - ii. Speech
 - 1. Engineering building announcement board, tradition to Engineering atrium for promotion/information, benefits current and future members, bill is for raw material cost, going to hand build. Same story as the banner from 56-01, approval from engineering building manager
 - iii. Question
 - 1. LoGalbo
 - a. Shouldn't this say funded by SG?
 - b. The design is not final, but it will be added
 - 2. Larsen towards Wangen
 - a. Not a promotional item
 - b. Discussion for debate



UCF Student Government Senate Executive Committee Meeting Charge On Chambers 6:00 p.m.

- 3. LoGalbo
 - a. Are all boards handmade?
 - b. Yes
- 4. Memory
 - a. Benefit current or future members more?
 - b. Both
- 5. Rose
 - a. Will you use this for tabling
 - b. Yes, in the fall club showcases
- 6. Yracheta
 - a. Is this better than online forms of information dissemination
 - b. Yes, this is useful, many members aren't used to/fans of the online communication. Great for seeing in real life.
- iv. Connolly MTD
- v. Debate

LEGISLATIVE

BRANCH

- 1. Wangen
 - a. Need updated artwork with SG logo. If artwork was confirmed, would be hesitant since it is less promotional and more informational, never approved anything like this, iffy.
- 2. Larsen
 - a. Need artwork finalized, postpone
- 3. Morissette
 - a. Could be a project vs a promotional item, remembers this from last session
- 4. Rose
 - a. Could be seen as promotional, tabling, attract new members, likes the idea, could also be a project
- 5. Larsen
 - a. \$\$\$ discrepancy, smaller in the PowerPoint vs allocation
- 6. Wangen
 - a. PP until we have updated artwork
- 7. RSO Representative Caroline
 - a. Do we need to resubmit the FAO form?
 - i. No
 - b. Does this need to be recategorized as a project?
 - i. Committee will decide and get back to you, standby for now.

c. 56-03





- i. Speech
 - Marine Environment Robotics, competition for ROV (underwater robot), ocean conservation + robotics, faculty advisor=robotics professor, 65 members
- ii. Question
 - 1. Wangen
 - a. Are you aware that the earliest you get funded is early to mid July?
 - b. Understood
 - 2. Larsen
 - a. Event is July 1st, 0 chance we can get your funding by then, are you aware of that? Some knightconnect form issues.
 \$19.64 discrepancy on total vs allocation.
 - b. Yes, will figure that out, mistake.
 - 3. Wangen
 - a. These are parts for next year? Is there updated info for this competition yet?
 - b. Yes, no but negligible expected changes
 - 4. Larsen
 - a. When are you ordering/building
 - b. Summer-Fall
 - 5. Larsen
 - a. RSO open to all UCF students?
 - b. Yes
 - 6. Rose
 - a. How certain are these prices?
 - b. Pretty certain
 - 7. Wangen
 - a. Is the event usually late June?
 - b. Yes
- iii. Larsen Extend questioning by 1 minute
 - 1. Larsen
 - a. 2 financially trained officers and you yourself are too?
 Transportation for competition? Estimated attendance 20 or 8?
 - b. Yes, officers going, 8 total, driving to competition, 8
- iv. Debate
 - 1. Wangen



- a. Delete estimated attendance and location and change date to next June
- 2. Rose to RSO Rep
 - a. Rasberry Pi listed twice? 1 or 2?
 - b. No mistake it is 2
- 3. Wangen to RSO
 - a. 10ft PVC cost?
 - b. \$8.48
- 4. Memory
 - a. Confusing, convoluted, possibly PP?
- 5. Wangen
 - a. Against PP since time crunch and the numbers make sense, errors corrected, in favor
- 6. Larsen POI
 - a. If you spend less than expected let me know, we'll work it out and avoid ORS.
- 7. Rose
 - a. In favor, mistakes were made but corrected
- v. Memory MTV
- vi. Vote: 7-0-2 Pass
- d. 56-04
 - i. Speech
 - Carter Dabney, Vice President of Knight Riders Motorcycle Club, event, first season with a few events. For education, safety, networking & career paths. It is a professional course. 6 riders \$310 per, 8 tires \$1608. Most officers financially trained, event in Atlanta, transportation covered, event open to any members but riders are evaluated, encourages better safer riding. Attendance open to all students.
 - ii. Question
 - 1. Wangen
 - a. Are you attending or hosting event?
 - b. Attending but running our own part within it, our pit is our own domain/event that we coordinate.
 - 2. Rose
 - a. How did previous allocations go?
 - b. Back in February, got approved, attendance was the same or less than expected. There was a discussion whether this



funding was valid, but some precedent/discussion resulted in its approval.

3. Wangen

LEGISLATIVE

BRANCH

- a. Why is this FAO event vs CRT trip?
- b. It is more of an event since we are handling transportation and have less experience with CRT. Has been seen as FAO in the past
- 4. Larsen
 - Requesting money for tires: whose bikes will they go on and what will happen to the tires after? Is this event open to all members of RSO
 - b. Student owned bikes, tire service puts on the tires, which are specifically for racing/not street tires. After an event the tires are disposed of or used for other RSO related purposes. Attendance is open and encouraged for all members and alumni, but the riders are chosen by coaches. Selection process is rigorous and systematic
- 5. LoGalbo towards Larsen
 - a. \$3,468 vs \$3,700 limit
 - b. The limit is the limit, and they chose when they spend it.
- 6. RSO Rep Carter
 - a. That limit is for just one event vs the entire RSO, A&SF
 Committe said limit is higher for total RSO. Seems that we've
 been approved much more (~\$7,000)
 - b. Larsen: bill (\$25,000 limit) vs allocation (\$3,700 limit)
 - c. Wangen: seems that this is true, but the committee should not have allowed that
- 7. LoGalbo to Larsen
 - a. Is this allocation going to be for this or last fiscal year?
 - b. This fiscal year
- iii. Larsen extend questioning 1 minute
 - 1. Larsen
 - a. Why can't you do this event closer to UCF? Will you provide transportation for other members who want to attend?
 - b. There are no tracks and have historically gone to this event, good relations. Yes, we will carpool and there will be a deadline to commit and figure this out.
- iv. Debate



UCF Student Government Senate Executive Committee Meeting Charge On Chambers 6:00 p.m.

1. Wangen

LEGISLATIVE

BRANCH

- Love the event, want to fund it some way but uncertain if FAO is the correct path. They have in the past but should be a CRT allocation. May be difficult to get the tires in the allocation but this does not fit in FAO.
- 2. Larsen
 - a. Agree with Wangen, this is more traveling than an event.
- 3. Memory
 - a. Agree that this should be CRT since spending policy says event must be "on campus"
- 4. Rose to RSO Rep
 - a. Is any money being used for travel
 - b. No, some of it could be considered registration fee but I see it more as track practice
- 5. Wangen
 - a. Weird allocation whether FAO or CRT, not about the travel or distance but more about the *hosting* of the event. The RSO has events that fit the definition more, but it doesn't fit here.
- 6. Larsen
 - a. Agree with Wangen, will consult CRT chair, recommend postponing
- 7. Rose
 - a. Will moving to CRT mess up the timeline?
- 8. RSO Rep Carter
 - a. Will review CRT process, should we request funding for travel with CRT?
- 9. Larsen
 - a. We'll have to figure it out and see
- 10. Rose
 - a. In favor of doing as FAO, tires wouldn't go in CRT, registration/track practice doesn't fit as well in FAO but I think we should stretch the definition of event. I don't know how you would get the tires through CRT.
- 11. Wangen
 - a. We should consult with CRT, approval delay will not affect funding timeline, possibly ask Brodie. We should fund it but we need to deliberate more.
- 12. RSO Rep Carter



- a. The track practice is not directly for the competition
- 13. Rose
 - a. PP and figure it out
- 14. Larsen to RSO
 - a. We'll figure out how to get you approved, was not a waste of time but we want to make sure it's done right as it will set a precedent
- v. Rose motion to PP
- 9. Member Discussion
 - a. None
- 10. Miscellaneous Business
 - a. None
- 11. Final Roll Call:
 - a. 8/14
- 12. Adjournment:
 - a. 5:29

Key:

P - Present A - Absent MTD - Move to Debate MTV - Move to Vote MTA- Move to Amend PP - Postpone PPI - Postpone Indefinitely GC - General Consent

> This meeting is held in compliance with the Government in the Sunshine Act. Funded by the Activity and Service Fee through the UCF Student Government.

Chair Larsen sga_fao@ucf.edu