



MEETING AGENDA

1. Call to Order: 4:31 PM
2. Roll Call & Verification of Quorum (5): 5/7

Name	Email	Initial	Final
Chair Borges	sgaors@ucf.edu	P	P
Vice-Chair Temple	sga_ba5@ucf.edu	P	P
Senator Datilus	sgacie4@ucf.edu	A	A
Senator Duke	sga_sci2@ucf.edu	P	P
Senator Kennaugh	sga_ba3@ucf.edu	E	E
Senator Larsen	sgaecs8@ucf.edu	P	P
Senator Rose	sgaecs10@ucf.edu	P	P
Pro Tempore Representative	sga_pro@ucf.edu	A (4:45 PM)	P

1. Approval of the Minutes: [6/12/23 Approved by GC](#)
2. Approval of the Agenda: Approved by GC
3. Announcements from the Chair
 - a. Hey guys, we have 4 Post-Funding Reviews to see today!
 - i. I have the sanction recommendations from statutes with each review to help you guys make your decisions :)
 - b. We have 2 VPFs to see today from previous weeks.
 - c. Thank you to everyone here today!
 - d. Appendix C Review if we have time!
2. Announcements from the Vice Chair
 - a. Nothing from me but an OTD fact! (Not putting it in aheh)

*This meeting is held in compliance with the Government in the Sunshine Act.
Funded by the Activity and Service Fee through the UCF Student Government.*



LEGISLATIVE BRANCH

UCF Student Government
ORS Committee Meeting

[Zoom](#)

06/26/2023

4:30 PM

4. Announcements from Committee Members
 - a. None
5. Announcements from Non-Committee Members
 - a. None
6. Old Business
 - a. Post-Funding Reviews
 - i. FAO 54-39 (UCF Trumpet Guild)
 1. General Information:
 - a. Fiscal Probation: Reversion >20%
 - b. Reverted \$1,500 of \$1,500.00 allocated; 100%
 - c. Statutes recommend 30% sanction
 - d. Borges: I have some information from the A&SF BO!
 - i. The RSO is able to have a say here. I spoke with accountants and still sought to pay the individual due to legal liability. We won't be able to pay for them in this fiscal year but we can still pay for him in next fiscal year. The accountants confirm the students have no blame, it is on the individual in question. There is not much if any fault from the students/RSO. All forms were submitted properly from the RSO's side.
 - e. [FAO 54-39](#)
 - f. https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1aBOWmU8j2A2mj6k9YC3OtV6Q3MG_W6zPtjK2AQpUDnc/edit#gid=575102487
 2. Speech (Time: 10/10/10)
 - a. Rep: In response to new information
 - b. Rep: I think that I do have some questions. If we do the funding from the next fiscal year will it reduce funding?
 - i. Borges: That's a great question, we can answer that in questioning.
 - c. Rep: Sorry this had to happen for so long. I know our professor reached out and was persistent in getting this resolved due to our leadership change in the guild. We appreciate that it was out of the Guild's control. Sorry this had to take up so much time.

*This meeting is held in compliance with the Government in the Sunshine Act.
Funded by the Activity and Service Fee through the UCF Student Government.*

Chair Borges sgaors@ucf.edu



3. Questioning

a. To the first question:

- i. Chair: That will need to be discussed with fiscal leadership. Per statutes, it is expected that in the event a reversion occurs, the funds are fully returned. Yet there are no specified exceptions, but this would traditionally it would impact it totally. However, since it is being used for last year, it can be different. What can be done, what can be done is that the 1,500 from last year's pot can be used for this one. This 3,700 for this year would be decreased to 2,200. There's really has no solid answer as this will need to be discussed with leadership. There is still a need for a discussion about the sanction, but that is at the committee's discretion.

4. Debate

- a. Duke: From what I'm understanding, this is not the RSO's fault. We should try to compensate for the individual's labor, but if we can try to get it out of the RSO's pot for next year we should approach that.
- b. Larsen: It is kinda silly if we should sanction them. We shouldn't sanction.
- c. Temple: concurs with prior senators. MTV

5. Vote to not sanction

- a. 4-0-1 (no sanction. Removed from Fiscal Probation)
 - i. Will remain in contact with RSO in order to find alternatives and path to retroactive payment.
- ii. FAO 54-45 (Venezuelan Student Association)
 1. General Information:
 - a. Fiscal Probation: Reversion >20%
 - b. Reverted \$37.50 of \$37.50 allocated; 100%
 - c. Statutes recommend 30% sanction
 - d. <https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/14F6bthpzlnOkYlGg9RoFL3WnhxLzs9DBEuTtE4i1XDc/edit>
 - e. https://docs.google.com/document/d/1z3ztxKlcXqZJ18i7E1Q775xv3xw_jlqCUD7jep1qHg/edit

*This meeting is held in compliance with the Government in the Sunshine Act.
Funded by the Activity and Service Fee through the UCF Student Government.*



2. [Time: 10/10/10](#)

3. Speech

a. [FAO 54-45 \(Venezuelan Student Association\)](#)

b. Rep: I investigated the issue a bit more. The event was held and there has been proof sent of the Smoothie King being purchased. The time picked up was 3:04 PM, date should be in the email...on Nov. 17. It was a reflection day/Thanksgiving with other RSOs. Other RSOs can affirm that the event has happened and there was a third party that was meant to pay for this, per the prior treasurer. That third party was from the ASFBO, and they believed the payment was made in full. I tried to reach out to the SK owner if they have receipts, however they have yet to receive communiques from SK. Is there any way to speak with the ASFBO, since I am trying to reach out to the SK. I won't be able to attend next week since I will be out of country, so I will have a representative in lieu.

4. Questioning

a. Chair: The pictures are linked in the agenda. I did reach out to the ASFBO, I'm thinking that the allocation was taken from another allocation that was ver similar.

b. Temple: Clarification?

c. Borges: Remember Jerry A? Awesome guy, very quick to the point. He handled this allocation; while this allocation had nothing handled, there was another one (54-024) that was for Nov 23 that was 100% used and was under Jerry. I'm not entirely sure, I'm still waiting for an itemized receipt. I'm not sure if this is on the Office or the RSO. We're not sure where this came from.

d. Larsen: If this came out from another allocation, will this come from the RSO or the Office?

e. Borges: Depends. It might be at first glance from the Office. However if the treasurer had the wrong allocation number, it would be on the RSO. But things can also be tangled up on the Office's end. The RSO has been communicative so we're going to try and and figure this out.

5. Debate

*This meeting is held in compliance with the Government in the Sunshine Act.
Funded by the Activity and Service Fee through the UCF Student Government.*



- a. Temple: I would like more clarification since this is such a unique case...
- b. Duke: I agree with Temple. This is something not of fault of the current leadership.
- c. Larsen: I think it's great to postpone this, I would want the committee to be aware that we're forcing the representative to come back but with a different individual. We know that there was some miscommunication. If this is at fault of the RSO it is on them to come back. I regardless would want to pursue this.
- d. Temple: Completely understands Larsen's sentiments and concerns. We won't have to call them in since the next time we see this it would have to have more information from the ASFBO. As Pro Temp Damarla said, we won't have to call them back since they gave us enough information as is.
- e. Duke: Concurs with Temple's sentiments.
6. Vote to (not) sanction (at %)
 - a. **Postponed**
- b. Verification of Purchases Forms
 - i. SB 54-40 (Student Professionals for Industrial/Organizational Psychology)
 1. General Information
 - a. [Fiscal Bill 54-40](#)
 - b. Senate Contribution: \$30,721.36
 - c. RSO Expected Contribution: \$30,721.36
 - d. [RSO Actual Contribution](#)
 - i. Lodging: \$
 - ii. **Total: \$**
 2. Time: 10/10/10
 3. Speech
 - a. Borges: Still waiting on a response. I need to reach back out again, they likely missed the email completely.
 4. Questioning
 - a.
 5. Debate
 - a.
 6. Vote to (not) approve VPF

*This meeting is held in compliance with the Government in the Sunshine Act.
Funded by the Activity and Service Fee through the UCF Student Government.*



- a. **Postponed**
- ii. SB 54-42 (Knight Riders Motorcycle Club)
 - 1. General Information
 - a. [Fiscal Bill 54-42](#)
 - b. Senate Contribution: \$4,800.00
 - c. RSO Expected Contribution: \$4,800.00
 - d. [RSO Actual Contribution](#) – 3 min reading time
 - i. Track Rental: \$4,250.00
 - ii. **Total: \$4,250.00**
 - 2. Time:
 - 3. Speech
 - a. Borges: They responded and sent multiple files to help us figure out their contribution. Sadly, none of them are viewable so I reached back out and asked for access.
 - i. They did have multiple people check over to confirm.
 - b. We should be finalizing this VPF next week, unless you guys want to caucus!
 - 4. Questioning
 - a.
 - 5. Debate
 - a.
 - 6. Vote to (not) approve VPF
 - a. **Postponed**
- c. Bills
 - i. None
- d. Resolutions
 - i. None
- 7. New Business
 - a. Post-Funding Reviews (10/10/10)
 - i. CRT 54-407 (UCF Collegiate National Association for Music Education) (Week 1)
 - 1. General Information
 - a. Fiscal Probation: Reversion >20%
 - b. Reverted \$500.00 of \$1,000.00 allocated; 50%
 - c. Statutes recommend 30% sanction
 - d. [CRT Agenda: 2/15/2023](#)

*This meeting is held in compliance with the Government in the Sunshine Act.
Funded by the Activity and Service Fee through the UCF Student Government.*



2. Speech

a. Rep:

- i. There was an event specific to string musicians in Orlando. We tried to offset some costs. We attempted to bring 8 members, and we got the names and documents together. However, there were some issues with the documents and we had an unresponsive accountant to the point where individuals dropped. The process was such that the event had occurred over spring break. They did not reach out to us until Friday before. They told us to pay for registration out of pocket and they'll be reimbursed. The fee was 125. So the RSO tried to reimburse some of the students, but others refused it. I tried to communicate with the accountant and so went to talk with the head of the ASFBO to resolve it.

3. Questioning

- a. Temple: Can you read them out?
- b. Borges: 54-407, the NaFME. They went to a seminar convention for professional development. They were aware of the PFP, had two financially trained officers, sought only registration. That was all from the agenda.
- c. Temple: Who was your accountant?
 - i. Rep: Latchmin Jaggernauth
- d. Temple: Clarify the issue with the documents?
 - i. Rep: We had done two travel allocations in the past: one to Tampa and then the Midwest. I had signed off on the roster and the ERF. Because I wasn't attending on the trip, I was not allowed to sign it. They re-submitted the form under the travel leader.
- e. Larsen:
 - i. In the meeting, you were asking for 3 students, is that different? Weren't there 8 students?
 1. Rep: That number was incorrect.
 - ii. Borges: The allocation was approved at 1,000 for 8.
 - iii. Clarify how many students ended up going?

*This meeting is held in compliance with the Government in the Sunshine Act.
Funded by the Activity and Service Fee through the UCF Student Government.*



1. Rep: We had 4 attended from what we funded.
There were another 2-3 at their own expense.
The other 2 did not attend outright
 - f. Temple: This is a prorating case?
 - i. Borges: Correct. If a student does not go on a trip, and they cost 100, that 100 is deducted from the final amount. This case is more complex. If for example if the committee sees that if one is more at fault, then proration would be on the RSO since it is higher by default.
 - g. Temple: Can you send the emails over?
 - i. Rep: Can PDF them.
 - h. Tabled at 5:10 PM
 - i. Picked up from Table at 5:16 PM
 - i. Rose: Motion for 5 minute Reading Time
 - j. [CRT 54-407 \(CF Collegiate National Association for Music Education\)](#)
4. Debate (note: Teams did not save the full six pages worth of minutes from this debate; any additional detail will need to be asked of the committee with regard to this PFR's debate. However, the sanction and vote count are both accurate).
5. [Debate had been surrounding the culpability of RSO given the length of time between communiques with Business Office, CRT not providing a better understanding of the prorating and reimbursement policies, and the RSO having to pay for some of the members out of pocket while others simply dropped due to a lack of confidence in the RSO being able to receive the funds. Debate was torn between giving the RSO a lower sanction ($\leq 15\%$) or a higher sanction ($\geq 15\%$) due to whether faults of ASFBO, CRT or the RSO took more precedent.
- a. Duke: I would recommend a 15% if not lower sanction because the RSO did face greater difficulty.
 - b. Larsen: I would suggest a higher sanction, at minimum 20% because the RSO was relatively more at fault, not discounting the issues they faced with the ASFBO.

*This meeting is held in compliance with the Government in the Sunshine Act.
Funded by the Activity and Service Fee through the UCF Student Government.*



- c. Temple: I believe a 15% sanction would apply best since there is merit to both the RSO's issues but also their own errors. It is a middle of the road course compared to what had been discussed prior.
- d. Damarla: What does the Chair think? He does not have to provide that opinion if he does not see it fit.
- e. Chair: I am open to giving my opinion, only if the committee agrees to it.
- f. Duke, Kennaugh: Both open to hearing the Chair's opinion.
- g. Larsen, Rose: Both do not see it fit given information presented already.
- h. Temple: Breaks for allowing Chair to give opinion.
- i. Chair: I tend not to put my opinions into these debates, but given everything I believe a 15% sanction would best apply here.
- j.
- 6. Vote to sanction (at 15%)
 - a. **5-0-1**
- ii. FAO 54-157 (SALT Outreach @ UCF) (Week 1)
 - 1. General Information
 - a. Fiscal Probation: Reversion >20%
 - b. Reverted \$103.91 of \$438.65 allocated; 24%
 - c. Statutes recommend 15% sanction
 - d. [FAO Agenda: 3/28/2023](#)
 - 2. Speech
 - a. The president informed the Chair I had to work, and tried to get a representative in place. However, no one has shown up.
 - 3. Questioning
 - a.
 - 4. Debate
 - a.
 - 5. Vote to (not) sanction (at %)
 - a. **Postponed**
- b. Verification of Purchases Forms
 - i. None
- c. Bills

*This meeting is held in compliance with the Government in the Sunshine Act.
Funded by the Activity and Service Fee through the UCF Student Government.*



LEGISLATIVE BRANCH

UCF Student Government
ORS Committee Meeting

[Zoom](#)

06/26/2023

4:30 PM

- i. None
 - d. Resolutions
 - i. None
- 8. Member Discussion
 - a. Appendix C Review
 - i. [Document](#)
- 9. Miscellaneous Business
 - a. **Informal: 6:25 PM**
 - b. Temple's Treasure Trove
 - i. Datilus:
 - ii. Duke:
 - iii. Kennaugh:
 - iv. Larson:
 - v. Rose:
 - vi. Borges:
 - vii. Temple:
 - viii. Morisette:
 - c. **Formal: 6:31 PM**
- 10. Final Roll Call: /7
- 11. Adjournment: PM

Key:

- P - Present
- A - Absent
- MTD - Move to Debate
- MTV - Move to Vote
- MTA- Move to Amend
- PP - Postpone
- PPI - Postpone Indefinitely
- GC - General Consent

*This meeting is held in compliance with the Government in the Sunshine Act.
Funded by the Activity and Service Fee through the UCF Student Government.*

Chair Borges sgaors@ucf.edu