



MEETING AGENDA

1. Call to Order: 4:41 PM
2. Roll Call & Verification of Quorum (5): 7/7

Name	Email	Initial	Final
Chair Borges	sgaors@ucf.edu	P	P
Vice-Chair Temple	sga_ba5@ucf.edu	P	P
Senator Datilus	sgacie4@ucf.edu	A	A
Senator Duke	sga_sci2@ucf.edu	P	P
Senator Kennaugh	sga_ba3@ucf.edu	P	P
Senator Larsen	sgaec8@ucf.edu	P	P
Senator Rose	sgaec10@ucf.edu	P	P
Pro Tempore Representative	sga_pro@ucf.edu	P	A

1. Approval of the Minutes: [6/5/23 Approved by GC](#)
2. Approval of the Agenda: Approved by GC
3. Announcements from the Chair
 - a. Hey guys, we have 3 Post-Funding Reviews to see today!
 - i. I have the sanction recommendations from statutes with each review to help you guys make your decisions :)
 - b. We have 3 VPFs to see today from last week.
 - c. We have a few RSOs unable to make but thank you to everyone here today!
 - d. CRT Rep Election
 - i. There was interest established, so we have to have this election by statutes.
 - e. Appendix C Review if we have time!
2. Announcements from the Vice Chair

*This meeting is held in compliance with the Government in the Sunshine Act.
Funded by the Activity and Service Fee through the UCF Student Government.*



- a. Nothing from me but an OTD fact! In 1898, Emilio Aguinaldo declares the Philippines independent from the Spanish Empire, the last major territorial possession of that defunct empire.
- 4. Announcements from Committee Members
 - a. None
- 5. Announcements from Non-Committee Members
 - a. None
- 6. Old Business
 - a. Post-Funding Reviews
 - i. None
 - b. Verification of Purchases Forms (3/3/3)
 - i. SB 54-32 (Psy-Squared)
 - 1. General Information
 - a. [Fiscal Bill 54-32](#)
 - b. Senate Contribution: \$8,100.00
 - c. RSO Expected Contribution: \$8,100.00
 - d. [RSO Actual Contribution](#) - X min reading time
 - i. Lodging: \$6,841.86
 - ii. Registration: \$3,105.00
 - 1. Multiple membership fees for each individual (can't include)
 - a. \$1,080 worth?
 - iii. **Total: \$8,866.86**
 - 2. Speech
 - a. Borges: Postponed last week because we do not accept invoices, because it does not prove payment and we require proof of payment. Contacted RSO for clarification and receipts. No response. Got a response this time.
 - b. RSO Response:
 - i. "I am attaching the bank statement with the proof of purchase for the registration. It was paid in the form of check which is listed on the statement with a total of \$3,105.

I'm also confused about the second question. We paid

*This meeting is held in compliance with the Government in the Sunshine Act.
Funded by the Activity and Service Fee through the UCF Student Government.*



- for the registration and membership ourselves (without SG assistance). We didn't request payment for or reimbursement for the registration and membership fees. Can you please clarify what you're needing for that portion?"
- c. Borges: See October 2022 Statement in the RSO Actual Contribution.
 - i. Borges: Got \$1,040 in membership fees.
 - ii. Larsen: Got a similar number. Without the fees, it's close to \$8,800.
 - iii. Bores: Their new numbers will be close to 8,800
 - d. I see \$1,040 towards new membership fees.
 - 3. Questioning
 - a. None
 - 4. Debate
 - a. Borges: We now know the number now and no further information can be acquired.
 - 5. Vote to approve VPF
 - a. **6-0-0**
 - ii. SB 54-40 (Student Professionals for Industrial/Organizational Psychology)
 - 1. General Information
 - a. [Fiscal Bill 54-40](#)
 - b. Senate Contribution: \$30,721.36
 - c. RSO Expected Contribution: \$30,721.36
 - d. [RSO Actual Contribution](#)
 - i. Lodging: \$
 - ii. **Total: \$**
 - 2. Time:
 - 3. Speech
 - a. Borges: Still waiting on a response.
 - 4. Questioning
 - a.
 - 5. Debate
 - a.
 - 6. Vote to (not) approve VPF
 - a. **Postponed**

*This meeting is held in compliance with the Government in the Sunshine Act.
Funded by the Activity and Service Fee through the UCF Student Government.*



- iii. SB 54-42 (Knight Riders Motorcycle Club)
 - 1. General Information
 - a. [Fiscal Bill 54-42](#)
 - b. Senate Contribution: \$4,800.00
 - c. RSO Expected Contribution: \$4,800.00
 - d. [RSO Actual Contribution](#) – 3 min reading time
 - i. Track Rental: \$4,250.00
 - ii. **Total: \$4,250.00**
 - 2. Time:
 - 3. Speech
 - a. Borges: Have been in contact but still gathering info from them, not everything we asked for was figured out right away, should have it by next week.
 - 4. Questioning
 - a.
 - 5. Debate
 - a.
 - 6. Vote to (not) approve VPF
 - a. **Postponed**
 - c. Bills
 - i. None
 - d. Resolutions
 - i. None
7. New Business
 - a. Post-Funding Reviews (10/10/10 as proposed by Sen. Duke)
 - i. FAO 54-39 (UCF Trumpet Guild)
 - 1. General Information:
 - a. Fiscal Probation: Reversion >20%
 - b. Reverted \$1,500 of \$1,500.00 allocated; 100%
 - c. Statutes recommend 30% sanction
 - d. [FAO 54-39](#)
 - e. https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1aBOWmU8j2A2mj6k9YC3OtV6Q3MG_W6zPtjK2AQpUDnc/edit#gid=575102487
 - 2. Speech
 - a. RSO: President of UCFTG. We had this man named Rob Smith who taught us a masterclass. We were approved and

*This meeting is held in compliance with the Government in the Sunshine Act.
Funded by the Activity and Service Fee through the UCF Student Government.*



all that was left was completion of Workday paperwork. As someone who is financially trained, I've had to fill out this paperwork for a separate venture, and the information on the form was confusing and difficult to answer even for someone FT'd. Mr. Smith had difficulties completing this form and elected not to complete the paperwork, despite us wanting to help him out. Without telling us he didn't fill it out and only told us this morning. We want to resolve this where we don't get punished due to someone else's lack of activity. We weren't aware of such an issue until 2 days ago. I am fortunate to have checked since it is summer and I wouldn't have checked otherwise. I hope to resolve this since we need the funds for future plans and masterclasses.

3. Questioning

- a. Temple: Was there Any correspondence?
- b. President: The correspondence is between text between our professor and Mr. Smith.
- c. Temple: Would it be a good idea to have the correspondence come in?
- d. Chair: If the committee sees fit.
- e. Pro Temp: Was wondering if there was a motion?
- f. Chair: The question was posited to me but it could be to the Rep.
- g. Pro Temp: Do you think you can Send us this documentation?
- h. RSO: If you think it will help us, then sure.
- i. Chair: Just send me the screenshots.
- j. Chair: Funding date was nearly 8 months ago. What happened between the communications? Were there transitions or it not being kept on top of?
- k. RSO: We did not have access to the paperwork. We thought the process was done on our end.
- l. Chair: I believe it's partially on them to do this. If it is why, I can reach out to the ASFBO to check this.
- m. RSO: We had no idea nor were we contacted about this being an issue until two days ago. We were caught blindsided since

*This meeting is held in compliance with the Government in the Sunshine Act.
Funded by the Activity and Service Fee through the UCF Student Government.*



we didn't expect him to not fill out the work and miss out on the payment. It was a lack of communication.

- n. Chair: To Former Chair Temple, did you know about the handling prior to Workday?
 - o. Fmr. Chair: I'd reach out to Fmr. Chair Wangen since she handled more cases like that.
 - p. Pro Temp: How will you do things differently?
 - q. RSO: Double checking and retaining constant communication and finding a way to gain access to the account to track the paperwork.
 - r. RSO: I sent the screenshot
 - s. Rose: Does Mr. Smith not want the 1,500?
 - t. RSO: I could reach out to him. Is it possible for him to still receive it?
 - u. Chair: It's tricky since the answer is technically no but if it is a special case, the accountants could do something. Otherwise the funds have reverted.
 - v. RSO: If it is a process that would lengthen this I don't think it is worth it since we're in this situation because of his lack of completing the paperwork. If it isn't a big deal, then we can find a way to send the funds.
 - w. Temple: Can you send the screenshot?
 - x. Larsen: Is there anyway we can see the original request?
 - y. Chair: I can try to get it for you since the drive was wiped.
4. Debate
- a. (Three Minute reading time for screenshots, moved for by Temple)
 - b. Chair: Can anyone see the file?
 - c. Larsen: It's incredibly grainy
 - d. Chair to RSO: Can you re-send?
 - e. RSO: We can
 - f. (One minute additional reading time for screenshot, moved for by Larsen)
 - g. Temple: Can you please clarify what a part of the conversation was?

*This meeting is held in compliance with the Government in the Sunshine Act.
Funded by the Activity and Service Fee through the UCF Student Government.*



- h. RSO: When we first requested for funds, we were denied. We had to reschedule and this may have resulted in Rob thinking otherwise. Perhaps this is what he's referring to. But I know that the communication was clear.
- i. Temple: I think the testimony and the evidence checks out. I do think that the RSO and Mr. Smith were hit hard by Workday and on part of Smith himself.
- j. Rose: I agree. The story does seem to check out.
- k. Duke: I agree. It sounds like miscommunication if anything.
- l. Kennaugh: I'm just considered for liability. If we were to not sanction the RSO, the money could still be floating around. If we're to wipe the slate, how can we confirm that the money is transferred to him?
- m. Chair: Valid. If there's anyone who wants to speak on it.
- n. Larsen: I concur with Kennaugh. It looks bad that the university won't pay for the services of the individual. If we don't do anything then it says that we let this slide that there wasn't much done.
- o. Temple: Couldn't we approach the ASFBO without sanctioning the RSO?
- p. Chair: We might not be able to do that yet.
- q. Duke: We should consult the Business Office before we sanction.
- r. Larsen: I agree with Duke. We should push to communicate with the ASFBO, but we shouldn't just move to not sanction them and re-evaluate.
- s. Kennaugh: When can we meet out to the Business Office?
- t. Chair: It's whenever the committee wants. Phong could get back with us in time for a caucus. Or we can wait until Next Monday.
- u. Temple: to the RSO: Could you attend either Thursday or Monday?
- v. RSO: Times?
- w. Chair: Depends on Thursday, but same time next Monday.
- x. RSO: I can make the time Monday, but we do want it done ASAP. We're trying to find a way to get the funding used. I

*This meeting is held in compliance with the Government in the Sunshine Act.
Funded by the Activity and Service Fee through the UCF Student Government.*



understand the concerns and we're also concerned so we're finding ways to resolve this ASAP. But if it is necessary, we can return on Monday.

5. Vote to (not) sanction (at %)
 - a. Postponed (to the earliest possible time we can receive the information) (by vote of 6-0-1)
- ii. FAO 54-45 (Venezuelan Student Association)
 1. General Information:
 - a. Fiscal Probation: Reversion >20%
 - b. Reverted \$37.50 of \$37.50 allocated; 100%
 - c. Statutes recommend 30% sanction
 - d. <https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/14F6bthpzlnOkYIGq9RoFL3WnhxLzs9DBEuTtE4i1XDc/edit>
 - e. https://docs.google.com/document/d/1z3ztxKlcXqZJ18i7E1Q775xv3xw_jlqCUD7jep1qHg/edit
 - f.
 2. Speech
 - a. Rep: I am Alessandra Gonzalez, and from what I can understand from the email, VSA are being put on probation. We saw a transition in this semester and thus did not request any funds since January so this has happened prior to my incumbency. The past board was not aware of this either, it was for Smoothie King, but I am not sure what the quantity it was for. I would like to know how this situation can be dealt with since it happened before me.
 - b. Chair: It was for VENSA Reflection Day. It was for 30 6 oz smoothies but it was all reverted. It looks like from the agenda the individuals were aware and good
 3. Questioning
 - a. Temple: Did they receive the quotes from Smoothie King?
 - b. Gonzalez: I was only in conversation with the people who looked like they were to receive the quotes. But it seems that there was a change of plans and of dates. I do have the screenshots
 - c. Chair: Was the treasurer Diego Baudy?
 - d. Gonzalez: Yes.

*This meeting is held in compliance with the Government in the Sunshine Act.
Funded by the Activity and Service Fee through the UCF Student Government.*



- e. Gonzalez: Just to be clear the funds were not used?
 - f. Chair: Correct, it was a complete reversion.
 - g. [Screen Shot 2023-06-12 at 5.31.18 PM.png](#)
 - h. <https://docs.google.com/document/d/13OXCgntvmp4Q8l6k0xDWJXjANTFms2Ok6O0FO2AdLHc/edit>
 - i.
 - j. Temple: The invoice says the pickup at 4 AM?
 - k. Chair: Have you talked with the individual to confirm this?
 - l. RSO: I don't think they did?
 - m. Gonzalez: The event was supposed to be on 17 November.
 - n. Temple: Confirms Gonzalez's statement.
 - o. Gonzalez: Am not entirely sure what happened here.
 - p. Larsen: Was there any process for refunds?
 - q. Chair: If the RSO has to cancel or move the event, they need to contact the ASFBO and SG in order to account for the reversion date. FAO could adjust it or zero it out. The ASFBO is good with anything congruent to UCF policy. ORS does receive the communication of an outstanding event that prevents the event from happening.
 - r. Temple: was there any communication about changing the date?
 - s. RSO: I got word back from the Treasurer. They had said that this was taken care of?
 - t. Chair: I was at the meeting where I talked with Lessi. I can reach out to Chair Butler to find.
 - u. RSO: The Treasurer graduated but said that they had the event and did take Smoothie King, but they had a meeting like this in the past and resolved the situation.
 - v. Temple: Did we see them?
 - w. Chair: I have a feeling that I remember VSA having a sanction.
4. Debate
- a. Temple: This is probably the most confusing PFR I've seen since it's incredibly contradictory.
 - b. Rose: I do want to see if there was a discussion over this. Without it I'm not sure if I can make a decision on this.

*This meeting is held in compliance with the Government in the Sunshine Act.
Funded by the Activity and Service Fee through the UCF Student Government.*



- c. Chair: They weren't sanctioned for 54-24, so a different allocation. But it looks like the issue with the ASFBO. There's no sanction as it stands. It is from 17 January so this was under Fmr. Chair Wangen.
 - d. Temple: To RSO Rep and Chair: Can you reach out to additional sources for information?
 - e. RSO: Yes
 - f. Chair: Yes.
5. Vote to (not) sanction (at %)
- a. Postponed
- iii. FAO 54-47 (Coptic Knights)
- 1. General Information:
 - a. Fiscal Probation: Reversion >20%
 - b. Reverted \$999.96 of \$999.96 allocated; 100%
 - c. Statutes recommend 30% sanction
 - d. <https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/14F6bthpzlnOkYIGq9RoFL3WnhxLzs9DBEuTtE4i1XDc/edit#gid=635418146>
 - e. https://docs.google.com/document/d/1z3ztxKlcXqZJ18i7E1Q_775xv3xw_jlqCUD7jep1gHg/edit
 - f. The Same as 54-45
 - g. [FAO 54-47 Coptic Knights](#)
 - 2. Speech
 - a. RSO: When was the reversion date?
 - b. Chair: I can check. I want to check on the ASFBO.
 - c. RSO: We weren't aware of the reversion date
 - d. Chair: 17 December
 - e. RSO: We were ready to go once we were assigned. However her advisor was not good with technology. We sent an email to Jerry A. We have all information ready to go. He asked for signatures, I had the president get into contact until the advisor until 17 December. We weren't told of the reversion until April. The item prices had already increased markedly (200%) so we couldn't go through with the event. We weren't informed of anything, and we were under the assumption we had until the spring. By the time I talked w Jerry D, the

*This meeting is held in compliance with the Government in the Sunshine Act.
Funded by the Activity and Service Fee through the UCF Student Government.*



reversion had happened. We weren't informed of the reversion.

f. Chair: Adding exit slip from Fmr. Chair Wangen.

3. Questioning

a. Rose: Reading Time: 3 Minutes

b. Temple: When were you contacted by your advisor?

c. RSO: 17th December, 3 days after the reversion.

d. Rose: To the Chair: Who sent this email?

e. Chair: It is used through the ORS chair called an Exit Slip.

The Rep either in CRT, FAO would send it to the RSO after the allocation.

f. RSO: I'm sure that I had. Who sent it?

g. Chair: It was through the ORS Chair.

h. RSO: It was for CRT 54-142.

4. Debate

a. Temple: Sort of conflicted. Yielded to RSO.

b. RSO: Clarifies

c. Temple: I think they shouldn't be sanctioned.

d. Kennaugh: It sounds like it's a mistake and we shouldn't sanction them.

e. Chair: Asks for Title 8, Appendix B information on reversions.

f. Larsen: They did do their request and were asked of their familiarity with the PFP. We probably shouldn't write this off as a genuine mistake.

g. Temple: It should be minute if we are to sanction them.

h. Chair: They have requested allocations 6 times.

i. Larsen: Concurs with Temple.

j. RSO: We were asked about the general concept of the PFP.

k. Larsen: How much in contact were you with the RSO?

l. RSO: There wasn't anything we can do about it.

m. Larsen: can you reiterate what happened in the three days after the reversion date?

n. RSO: The signatures were received but it was too late. By then it was break.

o. Kennaugh: You spoke to your experience, and the trainings. How many individuals have done the training?

*This meeting is held in compliance with the Government in the Sunshine Act.
Funded by the Activity and Service Fee through the UCF Student Government.*



- p. RSO: 3.
- q. Temple: I don't think we should sanction since they have the experience
- r. Chair: I don't want to, but I agree with Temple on some parts and not on other parts. We do provide information to students and ORS reps are there to shed light further. Students have a lot of resources at hand. Coptic Knights are an organization that we don't deal with until today. However we've seen orgs for just such a purpose.
- s. Temple: I would recommend a 5% sanction.
- t. Chair: Just wanted to bring attention to that not knowing is not a defense.
- u. Rose: Given everything, a 5% or 10% should be in order.
- v. Larsen: Any record of precedent with negligence?
- w. Chair: There are a lot of examples, but between us we've seen a number of examples.
- x. Larsen: Extension by 2 minutes.
- y. Rose: Wanted to say that it's not necessarily pulling it out of a hat, it's off of Temple's recommendation. He has had similar sanctions.
- z. Larsen: It's not necessarily pulling it out of a hat, I want to remain informed on this.
- aa. Temple: Clarified that nuance is needed with every circumstance.
- bb. Kennaugh: I think a 5% is justified but we could do with that.
- cc. Chair: FSA's example. It was stacked. FSA extended to forgetfulness and transition. Leadership's effort was not great but their patience was immaculate. Because FSA had other nuances, they were sanctioned at a lower rate but sanctioned, nonetheless.
- 5. Vote to sanction (at 5%)
 - a. 5-0-1 **Sanctioned at 5% and removed from FP.**
- b. Verification of Purchases Forms
 - i. None
- c. Bills
 - i. None

*This meeting is held in compliance with the Government in the Sunshine Act.
Funded by the Activity and Service Fee through the UCF Student Government.*



LEGISLATIVE BRANCH

UCF Student Government
ORS Committee Meeting

[Zoom](#)

06/12/2023

4:30 PM

- d. Resolutions
 - i. None
- 8. Member Discussion
 - a. Speaking to BO about Net-30 and payment for speakers
 - b. Appendix C Review
 - i. [Document](#)
- 9. Miscellaneous Business
 - a. CRT Rep Election (2/2/2)
 - i. Nominations
 - 1. Senator Larsen
 - ii. Speeches
 - 1. Larsen: Don't have anything prepared, but I consider myself to be very motivated in order to have a broader impact in Senate. So greater responsibility is something I can aim for. I think I can block time for CRT. I think that's why I can be a good rep for CRT.
 - iii. Questioning
 - 1. Temple: Will you be willing to be more versed on Appendix A?
 - 2. Larsen: Yes
 - iv. Debate
 - 1. Temple has been gag-ordered.
 - 2. Rose: I will vote in favor. He is motivated and it is good to have someone in this office.
 - 3. Duke: I'll also be voting in favor. He's been motivated and engaged.
 - v. Vote
 - 1. 6-0-0, Sen. Larsen is elected CRT Rep.
 - b. Temple's Treasure Trove
 - i. Bishop:
 - ii. Datilus:
 - iii. Duke:
 - iv. Kennaugh:
 - v. Larson:
 - vi. Rose:
 - vii. Yalamanchili:
 - viii. Borges:
 - ix. Temple:

*This meeting is held in compliance with the Government in the Sunshine Act.
Funded by the Activity and Service Fee through the UCF Student Government.*

Chair Borges sgaors@ucf.edu



LEGISLATIVE BRANCH

UCF Student Government
ORS Committee Meeting

[Zoom](#)
06/12/2023
4:30 PM

x. Morisette:

10. Final Roll Call: 6/7

11. Adjournment: 6:44 PM

Key:

P - Present

A - Absent

MTD - Move to Debate

MTV - Move to Vote

MTA- Move to Amend

PP - Postpone

PPI - Postpone Indefinitely

GC - General Consent

*This meeting is held in compliance with the Government in the Sunshine Act.
Funded by the Activity and Service Fee through the UCF Student Government.*

Chair Borges sgaors@ucf.edu