

MEETING AGENDA

- 1. Call to Order: 4:30 PM
- 2. Roll Call & Verification of Quorum (5): 7/7

Name	Email	Initial	Final
Chair Borges	sgaors@ucf.edu	Р	Р
Vice-Chair Temple	sga_ba5@ucf.edu	P	Р
Senator Datilus	sgacie4@ucf.edu	A	A
Senator Duke	sga_sci2@ucf.edu	P	Р
Senator Kennaugh	sga_ba3@ucf.edu	P	Р
Senator Larsen	sgaecs8@ucf.edu	P	A
Senator Rose	sgaecs10@ucf.edu	P	Р
Pro Tempore Representative	sga_pro@ucf.edu	P	Р

- 1. Approval of the Minutes: 6/1/23 Approved by GC
- 2. Approval of the Agenda: Approved by GC
- 3. Announcements from the Chair
 - a. Hey guys, we have 3 VPF's to see today!
 - b. Considering how long last meeting took, it made the most sense to just to all VPFs again this week, and then call in all the students next week.
 - i. It also gives me ample time to respond to all of their questions and reduce time in committee when we see Post-Funding Reviews – which we will be seeing a few next week!
 - ii. So when we call students in they'll have enough time to prepare and we'll be able to make our meetings more efficiently, especially since we don't put them on probation until I say so.

This meeting is held in compliance with the Government in the Sunshine Act. Funded by the Activity and Service Fee through the UCF Student Government.



- c. ORS Rep Elections
 - i. There was interest established, so we're gonna have this election by statute.
- d. Appendix C Review
- e. I know we went over this weeks ago, but if any of you are still extremely confused, maybe <u>ORS Committee Transition Binder</u> will help!
- 2. Announcements from the Vice Chair
 - a. Hey! Nothing much from me, but if you need anything you can reach out to me! This Friday taking LSAT.
- 4. Announcements from Committee Members
 - a. None
- 5. Announcements from Non-Committee Members
 - a. None
- 6. Old Business
 - a. Post-Funding Reviews
 - i. None
 - b. Verification of Purchases Forms
 - i. SB 54-32 (Psy-Squared)
 - 1. General Information
 - a. Fiscal Bill 54-32
 - b. Senate Contribution: \$8,100.00
 - c. RSO Expected Contribution: \$8,100.00
 - d. RSO Actual Contribution X min reading time
 - i. Lodging: \$6,841.86
 - ii. Total: \$6,841.86
 - iii. Registration: \$0 (Invoice for \$3,105.00)
 - 1. Multiple membership fees for each individual
 - 2. Speech
 - Borges: Postponed last week because we do not accept invoices, because it does not prove payment and we require proof of payment. Contacted RSO for clarification and receipts.
 - b. RSO Response: N/A
 - 3. Questioning
 - a.
 - 4. Debate



- a.
- 5. Vote to (not) approve VPF
 - a. Postponed by GC
- c. Bills
 - i. None
- d. Resolutions
 - i. None
- 7. New Business
 - a. Post-Funding Reviews
 - i. None
 - b. Verification of Purchases Forms
 - i. SB 54-40 (Student Professionals for Industrial/Organizational Psychology)
 - 1. General Information
 - a. Fiscal Bill 54-40
 - b. Senate Contribution: \$30,721.36
 - c. RSO Expected Contribution: \$30,721.36
 - d. <u>RSO Actual Contribution</u> 8 min reading time (+3 minute extension)
 - i. Lodging: \$
 - ii. Total: \$
 - 2. Time Parameters: 5/5/5
 - 3. Speech
 - a. Before Investigation: We will try and find the numbers from this so we can get a better feel for these VPFs.
 - b. After Investigation: Bringing up what I noticed. There was a significant difference between the rates and we have a general rule where last year, anything with \$300 or more we would need to ask them. Typically it's not a big enough concern, but with these sorts of fluctuations, there is gonna need some questioning. If there's a consistency, then it's due to economic fluctuations. Moreover, there were AirBnB receipts, so those are no goes. We'll need to inform them. We also mentioned dates, and we didn't see too many fluctuations from the time frame. Moreover, there will need to be extensive communication. As a committee we decide what this VPF's fate will be, so I recommend questions.



- 4. Questioning
 - a. Damarla: Specifically, in receipt 10, I'm looking at a student who's had a 234.95 charge rate. This one wasn't marked, so is this an assumption we need to make or do we need to communicate further?
 - i. Borges: I don't see a circle around Acosta's number. We can reach out.
 - b. Damarla: I want to say I tried calculating this, but I got a number 10,000 less and the number of students was 10 less.
 - i. Borges: The number of individuals in particularly there could have been some paid for by the ASFBO or they weren't ever present at the trip itself. The other concern regarding the hotel rates. Yeah, the rates are 10,000 less.
 - c. Temple: Did you reach out to the ASFBO regarding what they paid for?
 - i. B: Not yet. I wanted to see what you wanted from this. The email is drafted and ready to go.
- 5. Debate
 - a. Damarla: We're voting on whether we have the information needed to pass this? Would you recommend us voting on it now and call them in?
 - i. Borges: I want the committee to deliberate on this.
 - b. Rose: From what I remember last week, we postponed a VPF because we lacked information. So we can do the same.
 - c. Kennaugh: It does make sense to postpone, but will we set a deadline?
 - i. Borges: In the past, if someone wasn't responsive, we reached out to the RSO and ASFBO to fill in as many gaps as possible before shifting to a PFR. If they don't show up then we should put them on fiscsal probation.
 - d. Temple: We do have the room to dig for more information. We should postpone.



- e. Damarla: We should probably give them at least two weeks for contact and in the meantime postpone this one. We can deliberate on when to see them again.
- 6. Vote to (not) approve VPF

a. Postponed

- ii. SB 54-42 (Knight Riders Motorcycle Club)
 - 1. General Information
 - a. Fiscal Bill 54-42
 - b. Senate Contribution: \$4,800.00
 - c. RSO Expected Contribution: \$4,800.00
 - d. RSO Actual Contribution 3 min reading time
 - i. Track Rental: \$4,250.00
 - ii. Total: \$4,250.00
 - 2. Speech Parameters: 5/5/5
 - 3. Speech
 - Borges: RSO believed their expected contribution was \$4,250.00.Borges: One of the big things was that I noticed that they believed their contributions was only 4,250. But their expected contributions are higher
 - 4. Questioning
 - a. Damarla: Why is there such a discrepancy? And where did they get this information?
 - i. Borges: When they submitted the forms for VPF, they submitted questions about the numbers and they put the numbers that they thought were the accurate numbers. This makes me believe they misunderstood the numbers.
 - b. Temple: They'll need to be come in won't they?
 - i. They will probably have to under the 95% threshold rule. When we saw this bill in the 54th Session, there was safety equipment that needed to be included. But there might be the possibility they paid for it on their end.
 - 5. Debate

This meeting is held in compliance with the Government in the Sunshine Act. Funded by the Activity and Service Fee through the UCF Student Government.



- a. Damarla: I think we should postpone this and email them in the event they do have that additional receipt. I think this is also an invoice.
- b. Kennaugh: I would want to reach out to them too due to unseen circumstances.
- c. Rose: Regarding the credit card not showing up. It looks like it is a PayPal transaction. So do we need to see a history?
 - i. Borges: This is a PayPal transaction and with these it's our discretion to see them as a receipt.
- d. Temple: I think it should be seen as a receipt since it shows a deduction of funds .
- e. Damarla: Agreed.
- f. Borges: I don't see an issue with seeing this as a receipt but if we need a bank statement we can ask.
- g. Temple: Just in case, we can ask them.
- 6. Vote to (not) approve VPF

a. Postponed

- c. Bills
 - i. None
- d. Resolutions
 - i. None
- 8. Member Discussion
 - a. How long to wait to review VPFs?
 - a.
 - b. How long should we wait for VPFs?
 - a. Damarla: Perhaps 2 week wait for a response and a 2 week window to meet with them?
 - b. Borges: For Clarification: that 4 week window is just for the post funding review. The VPF has no timeline set in statute, Judicial's precedents (and statutes) stipulates a 4 week window for PFRs.
 - c. Kennaugh: I like the two week window since it is within their interest to reach out to us. It also helps us with RSO relations.
 - d. Borges: Do you want me to label these VPFs to track them? (Similar to PFRs, so ex: CRT 55-01 Da Clurb [Week 1])
 - e. Damarla: When should we see them and when should the timer start?
 - f. Borges: Did we want to start the timer on that week or the meeting after?

This meeting is held in compliance with the Government in the Sunshine Act. Funded by the Activity and Service Fee through the UCF Student Government.



- g. Damarla: perhaps the meeting after. Not sure how responsive they are.
- h. Borges: They know the requirement, so they're more responsive. With PFRs they're typically more caught off guard and don't expect the emails. This can happen during a transition in leadership. This could result in decent responses. But with VPFs the respondents typically have the information before them. We may get responses within a few days. Did we want to keep the four week timeline? Or two weeks? Start it immediately or the second meeting?
- i. Damarla: Four week timeline and second meeting?
- j. Borges: Asks for clarification...so with the Monday after we first see it and then the four weeks minimum? So nine week windows? In effect, the longer the process is drawn out, it could leave students in limbo.
- k. Damarla: This makes sense now, perhaps not nine weeks. So why do the four weeks compound?
- I. Borges: After the reversion date, there is a 5 business day window to turn in the VPF (depends on the month) before the receipts are sent in to me. Then we meet Monday. We ask for more information. Next Monday's meeting starts week 1. Three weeks pass, we approve it but then the numbers don't add up. Then we'd call them in for a PFR due to a lower contribution. Then starts the 4 week window to make a decision on students on fiscal probation. The purpose is to apply the sanctions when necessary.
- m. Damarla: Were there any precedents that affected sanctions when VPFs are turned in effectively?
- n. Borges: We never sanctioned anyone based on VPFs directly (ie turning in late). However, they can be used to result in investigations that lead to fiscal sanctions.
- o. Damarla: Reduce it to two weeks with the first meeting we see it starting the clock.
- p. Consensus: Two week window with first meeting being the first day.
- c. Appendix C Review
 - a. <u>Document</u>
- 9. Miscellaneous Business
 - a. FAO Rep Election
 - i. Nominations
 - 1. Senator Rose
 - ii. Time Parameters: 3/3/3



- iii. Speeches
 - Rose: I don't have much prepared. But I've wanted to get more involved and since this is open I'm ready to take this opportunity. I am willing to learn since I don't know the inner workings yet.
- iv. Questioning
 - 1. Damarla: I know that you mentioned you didn't have much knowledge on this, what steps will you take to learn more?
 - a. Rose: Attend all the meetings and ask questions I can learn more about the process.
- v. Debate
 - 1. Damarla: I'll vote in favor. He's been proactive in learning about these procedures. He'll do well
 - 2. Kennaugh: I'll vote in favor as well. I enjoy hearing the pro activity and the enthusiasm can help us grow as an institution.
 - 3. Clarification: This position allows for the rep to represent ORS, but he cannot have two votes. It is at the discretion of the Senator. He will still be on the committee but not be a direct member per se.
- vi. Vote
 - 1. 6-0-0, Sen. Rose is elected FAO Rep. pending they resign from FAO
- b. CRT Rep Election
 - i. Nominations
 - 1. N/A
 - ii. Speeches
 - 1.
 - iii. Questioning
 - 1.
 - iv. Debate
 - 1.
 - v. Vote
 - 1. , Sen. is elected CRT Rep.
- c. Temple's Treasure Trove (?)
 - i. Bishop:
 - ii. Datilus:
 - iii. Duke:
 - iv. Kennaugh:



Teams 06/05/2023 4:30 PM

- v. Larson:
- vi. Rose:
- vii. Yalamanchili:
- viii. Borges:
- ix. Temple:
- x. Morisette:
- 10. Final Roll Call: 6/7
- 11. Adjournment: 5:36 PM

Key:

P - Present A - Absent MTD - Move to Debate MTV - Move to Vote MTA- Move to Amend PP - Postpone PPI - Postpone Indefinitely GC - General Consent

> This meeting is held in compliance with the Government in the Sunshine Act. Funded by the Activity and Service Fee through the UCF Student Government.